

IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
(SPECIAL) JUDGE MANGALURU, D.K.

Sessions Case: 80/2014
&
Sessions Case: 105/2024

PW: 11 (CW: 6)

Accused Nos.1 and 3 produce from JC through VC. For clarification of Audio video, on enquiry was made, both are clear. From the side of JC Sri. Basawaraju is appointed as co-ordinator and from this side bench clerk is appointed as co-ordinator.

Since Accused No.3 has been secured later on, witness is recalled.

Further chief examination by Public Prosecutor:

I can identify the person who came along with Bahaddhur Singh. I came to know his name after police brought him to the police station has Shahnawaz. Two accused persons are produced from JC through VC. On seeing two accused persons, witness identifies the accused who is wearing check t-shirt with bear as the said person. On enquiry, he reveals his name as Shahnawaz.

Cross-examination by Sri. KPAS Advocate for accused
No.3:-

1. My house is at Rajasthan. In our State we speak in

Rajasthani language, it is almost matching to Hindi. Some persons tell Marvadi language, some persons tell Jatak etc. Our mother tongue is Marvadi. I know Marvadi, Jatak and Hindi.

2. My occupation is laying of Marble. I do not know whether the person now identified by me was doing Marble work or not. I had no acquaintance of the said person earlier. I had not seen him also.

3. I do not know reading, writing and speaking Kannada. I have lodged complaint in this case in the evening in the Surathkal police station. While giving complaint I gave my complete details including by my mobile number. I gave oral complaint to police at 7-7.30 p.m. Naresh PW.12 went to police station 30-60 minutes earlier to me. Witness states before he went to the room, his brother PW.12 was already present.

4. On the date of incident, I do not know where PW.12 Naresh had worked, but he had worked in Mangalore, I do not know the place of work. On that day I did not go to work, I was in the room, Shivram (Accused No.1) came to room and took me and Jalu to Thekkottu.

5. I and Naresh went to police station and told the police

murder of Amma had taken place. When we came to room from work place evening, we came to know murder of Amma took place. On that day we only told before the police that murder of Amma had taken place. Witness further states after registration of case, I and Naresh explained in detail to the police.

6. I have given my native address to the police and I also gave my Aadhar Card, in the Aadhar Card my address is mentioned. I gave Aadhar card to the Surathkal police another day and not on the day of incident. I gave Aadhar card to Krishna, CBI police.

7. I have not given copy of rent agreement to the police. Witness states no such lease agreement was executed by owner in their favour. No rent receipts were issued by the owner. I have not given any document to show I am the tenant of deceased house. I do not have any address proof or other document to show I came from Rajasthan to Mangalore and Stayed here. I do not have any document to show I and Naresh my brother have been working in Mangalore.

8. It is false to suggest that I did not go to police station and did not identify any person now whom I identify. I and Police Krishna made conversation in police station in Hindi language.

9. It is false suggest that whatever deposed by me in chief examination is false.

10. I made signature in police station when I went there subsequently. I did not affix signature in the police station when I went first time.

Counsel adopts remaining cross examination of counsel for accused no.1.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.