

8. Bail Matters 2310-2025
STATE Vs SUNITA
9. Bail Matters 2311-2025
STATE Vs AMIT KUMAR SINGH
10. Bail Matters 2312-2025
STATE Vs RAM AWATAR SINGH
FIR no. 742/2025
P.S. Dabri
U/s. 85/80(2)/3(5) BNS

16.01.2026

Present: Ms. Kiran Bala, Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.
Inspector Bijender.
Sh. Ankit Singh and Ms. Shibbu Singh, Ld. Counsel
for complainant.
Ms. Priya Singh and Ms. Bhawna, Ld. Counsel
for applicants/accused persons.

Vide this common order I shall dispose of anticipatory bail applications under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail moved on behalf of applicants/accused Sunita, Amit Kumar Singh and Ram Avtar Singh.

Reply to the bail applications filed. Copy supplied.

It is stated in the application that applicants/accused persons have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further stated that applicants/accused are resident of Gazipur, Uttar Pradesh. It is further stated that applicants/accused persons namely Sunita is jethani, Amit Kumar Singh is husband ad Ram Avtar is Jeth of the deceased Rishu Raj Singh. It is further stated that applicants/accused persons never made any dowry demands and neither the deceased nor her family had ever filed a police complaint or made PCR call suggesting that she was being subjected to dowry harassment. It is stated that marriage

between the applicant Amit Kumar Singh and deceased took place on 20.04.2025 at Buxar, Bihar. It is further stated that deceased suffered from unbearable pain in her legs and then she used to buy painkillers from pharmacy. It is further stated that essential ingredients of dowry death are not made out on the admitted material. It is further stated that applicant Amit Kumar Singh is an army personnel and has clean antecedents and has never been convicted for any offence and there is no other criminal case pending against him. It is further stated that applicant has reasonable apprehension of arrest on account of registration of the present FIR and repeated visits/calls by the local police of P.S. Dwarka. It is further stated that applicants/accused are ready to join the investigation as and when directed by this court. It is prayed that applicants/accused be granted anticipatory bail.

Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State and Ld. Counsel for the accused has opposed the bail application stating that the allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is further stated that since marriage of the deceased had not completed 07 years and she was harassed for dowry, therefore, anticipatory bail applications be dismissed. It is further stated that applicants have not joined the investigation despite issuance of notice under section 94 BNSS.

As per brief facts, the deceased Rishu Raj Singh was brought dead at Bhagat Chandra Hospital by her brother in law (jet) Ramavtar and sister in law (jethani) Sunita. During inquiry

8. Bail Matters 2310-2025
STATE Vs SUNITA
9. Bail Matters 2311-2025
STATE Vs AMIT KUMAR SINGH
10. Bail Matters 2312-2025
STATE Vs RAM AWATAR SINGH
FIR no. 742/2025

-3-

father of the deceased Nathuni Singh gave statement before the SDM, Dwarka that two months prior to the incident, he was informed that his daughter was suffering from nerve related problem in her leg and he visited twice and suspected that she was being harassed by her in laws. The deceased along with her jeth and jethani was brought to Delhi for treatment at AIIMS around one month prior to the incident and whenever he spoke to her, someone was always present with her. He made allegations that his daughter was subjected to cruelty for dowry and was killed under a preplanned conspiracy between her husband and in laws.

Father of the deceased was informed that the deceased had fallen unconscious in the bathroom whereas the deceased had allegedly fallen from the second storey of the house where she was staying with jeth and jethani in Delhi. The deceased allegedly died merely after 07 months of her marriage giving rise to the presumption of dowry death. Parents of the deceased have clearly denied that the deceased was suffering from any mental issues prior to her marriage and majority of the medical documents filed along with the bail applications only show that the deceased was taking treatment of pain in her leg from AIIMS hospital and the documents show that she was

referred for MRI Brain and Spine and to neurology department but that too was with respect to her lower back ache and lack of power in lower limbs. There is no document to show that the deceased was having any mental health issues or was undergoing treatment for the same from AIIMS .

Moreover, there are no exceptional circumstance, justifying the grant of discretionary relief of anticipatory bail to the applicants/accused persons, hence, the applications of applicants/accused persons namely Sunita, Amit Kumar Singh and Ram Avtar Singh in hand is hereby dismissed.

Copy of order be also given dasti to Ld. counsel for the accused.

(MANU GOEL KHARB)
SPECIAL JUDGE(NDPS)-02
DWARKA COURTS, NEW DELHI
16.01.2026