

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,  
CUDDALORE DISTRICT, CUDDALORE

**Present: Tmt. G.Subathira Devi, M.L.,  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Cuddalore.**

Tuesday, the 11<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2026

**Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No. 3280/2026**

**Intervener petition in Crl.M.P.No.3314/2026**

**Crl.M.P No. 3 2 8 0 / 2 0 2 6**

Sarathkumar, age 29, S/o. Vinayagamorthy .... Petitioner/Accused

.Vs.

S.H.O. DCB, Cuddalore P.S.,  
Cr.No. 26/2026

.... Respondent/Complainant

**Intervener petition in Crl.M.P.No. 3314/2026**

Johnson, S/o. Yesupatham .... Petitioner/Intervener

.Vs.

S.H.O. DCB, Cuddalore P.S.,  
Cr.No. 26/2026

.... Respondent/Complainant

.Vs.

Sarathkumar, age 29, S/o. Vinayagamorthy .... Petitioner/Accused

These petitions are coming for hearing before me on this day in the presence of Thiru.V.Vijay, Advocate for the Petitioner in Crl.M.P.No.3280/2026 and of Thiru.S.Krishnamoorthy, Advocate for the Intervener in Crl.M.P.No.3314/2026 and of Public Prosecutor for the Respondent and this Court made the following

## ORDER

This Petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS, for seeking Bail.

The Learned Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused contended that the Petitioner/Accused has been charged U/Ss.316(5), 318(4) and 351(2) of BNS by the Respondent/Police for the alleged occurrence and the Petitioner/Accused was remanded to judicial custody on 01.08.2026.

The Learned Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused has contended that the Petitioner/Accused is an innocent and no way connected with the offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. He further stated that there is dispute between the parties with regard to money. He is working under the control of MD in a Private Company. There is amount transaction between them. But a false case foisted against him. 2 lakhs already paid to him. He is ready to deposit the amount. Hence, prays to allow the petition.

The Petitioner/Accused is in judicial custody for the past 14 days.

The Learned Public Prosecutor has contended that the Petitioner/Accused is in judicial custody for the past 14 days. As per FIR, there is specific overtact against him and the offence is grave in nature and the investigation has not yet completed and hence, she has serious objection to release the Petitioner/Accused on bail.

The defacto complainant filed an intervener petition in **Crl.M.P.No.3314/2026** and permitted.

The intervener/Defacto Complainant reproduce the entire averments in the complaint made by him in his affidavit and apprehends that if the petitioner is released on bail, it is danger to him and seeking dismissal of the bail application. Hence the learned Counsel for the Intervener strongly opposed to grant Bail to the Petitioner/Accused.

Rival submissions of both sides considered. Upon considering the strong objections of the learned Public Prosecutor and considering the gravity of offences and the investigation has not yet been completed, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the Petitioner/Accused at this stage, hence the petition is dismissed.

***Hence, the petition is dismissed.***

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 11<sup>th</sup> day of August 2026

**Principal Sessions Judge,  
Cuddalore.**

To : The Judicial Magistrate No. III, Cuddalore

Copy to : S.H.O. DCB, Cuddalore PS.

} Through  
E-Mail only  
.

**COVER SHEET ANNEXED TO JUDGMENT/ORDER**

|             |                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                             |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1.</b>   | <b>Name of the Court</b>                                                                            | <b>PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT, CUDDALORE DISTRICT, CUDDALORE</b>                                                 |
| <b>2.</b>   | <b>Case Number</b>                                                                                  | <b><u>Crl. Misc. Petition No.3280/2026</u></b>                                                                              |
| <b>3.</b>   | <b>Date of Judgment of Conviction/Dismissal/Reversal of Acquittal/Dismissal of Bail Application</b> | 11.08.2026                                                                                                                  |
| <b>4.</b>   | <b>Name of Person Convicted/Acquittal Reversed/Bail Denied/Bail Cancelled</b>                       | Sarathkumar, age 29,<br>S/o. Vinayagamoorthy                                                                                |
| <b>5.</b>   | <b>Contact Address of Legal Aid Committee of Court Complex in which the above Court is situated</b> | <b><u>Name &amp; Address</u></b><br><b><u>Name &amp; Address</u></b><br><b>DISTRICT LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY, CUDDALORE</b> |
| <b>5.a.</b> | <b>Designation of the Person to be contacted</b>                                                    | <b>THE SECRETARY/SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, DLSA, CUDDALORE.</b>                                                                   |
| <b>6.</b>   | <b>Phone No. of above Legal Aid Committee<br/>(Between..... A.M. to.....P.M.</b>                    | <b><u>04142 - 212660</u></b><br><b>10.00 A.M. to 05.45 P.M.</b>                                                             |
| <b>7.</b>   | <b>Alternative Mobile No. of Legal Aid Committee</b>                                                | <b>JUNIOR ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT<br/>9629965203</b>                                                                       |

**Name and Signature of Person issuing copy of Judgment/Order with Date**