

ED vs. M/s Shree Bankey Behari Exports Ltd & Ors.
CC No. 25/2022
IA No. 33/2026
CNR No. DLCT-11-000521-2022

23.07.2026

Present : Sh. Simon Benjamin, Ld. Spl. P.P for ED through VC.
Ms. Aakshi Batra, Advocate (Associate of Sh. Somon Benjamin) in person
Sh. Dhruv Gupta, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused through VC.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused **Gian Chand** seeking permission to travel to Istanbul from 15.08.2026 to 25.08.2026 for attending his business affairs and and release of his passport for its renewal and travelling as well as seeking direction to the prosecuting agency for recall of look out notice issued against him.

2. Common reply to the application has been filed by the ED in the present case well as connected case bearing CC No. 33/2022.

3. Ld. Counsel for applicant argued that the applicant needs to travel Istanbul from 15.08.2026 to 25.08.2025 in connection with his business affairs. It is further argued that earlier vide order dated 19.05.2025, the applicant was also allowed to travel Dubai. It is further argued that the applicant shall apply for the visa as soon as he gets the passport from this Court to complete the formalities for the same and further undertakes to place the same on record after return. It is further argued that once permission to travel to Istanbul is granted, the applicant shall book his travel tickets, etc. and copy thereof shall be placed on record. It is further argued that there is no

likelihood of his fleeing from justice as applicant has deep routes in the society. It is also submitted that directions may be issued to the investigating agency for recalling of look-out notice against the applicant/accused, if any.

4. Ld. Spl. P.P for ED opposed that application arguing that the applicant is the main accused and was instrumental in generating laying and projecting the proceeds of crime and has committed serious offences and there is every possibility of his fleeing from justice, if allowed to travel abroad. It is further argued that if the applicant is allowed to travel abroad, unnecessary delay may also be caused as the case is fixed for arguments on charge and accordingly prays for dismissal of the present application. So far look-out notice against the applicant is concerned, Ld. Spl. P.P submits that as informed by the IO, the look notice issued against the applicant/accused has already been closed on 08.08.2025.

5. Arguments on the application heard and record perused.

6. Perusal of record reveals that earlier vide order dated 19.05.2025, the applicant/accused was granted to travel Dubai for 45 days from the date of his departure and he has not misused the permission so granted. It is also revealed that the charge-sheet in the present case has been filed without arrest of the accused indicating that the applicant has deep roots in the society and he is not a flight risk.

7. Considering the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case particularly the fact that no prejudice will be caused to the prosecuting agency, if the applicant/accused is permitted to travel abroad during the stated period for the stated purposes and the fact

that the applicant is ready and willing to abide by all the terms and conditions if imposed by this court while allowing his application, the application of the applicant/accused **Gian Chand** is allowed and he is permitted to travel to Istanbul for his business purposes from 15.08.2026 to 25.08.2026 subject to the conditions that :

- (i) *the applicant/accused shall file his complete travel itinerary in the court;*
- (ii) *the applicant/accused shall furnish additional security of Rs. 2,00,000.00 (Rupees Two Lakh) in the form of FDR in one of the cases;*
- (iii) *the applicant/accused shall inform about his arrival in India within 48 hours of his return from abroad and will surrender his original passport in the Court;*
- (iv) *in any eventuality, the applicant/accused shall not request for extension for staying abroad;*
- (v) *the applicant/accused shall not tamper with the evidence in any manner and will not use the permission granted to him contrary to the rules;*
- (vi) *the applicant/accused shall submit his full addresses of his stay in Istanbul alongwith telephone/contact number(s) with the undertaking that he will return to India and will appear before the Court in case any such instruction is conveyed to him telephonically during his stay or otherwise;*
- (vii) *the applicant/accused shall file an undertaking before leaving the country that he will strictly comply with the terms and conditions of the order; and*
- (viii) *the surety of the applicant/accused shall also furnish an undertaking that he will not withdraw his surety bond during the period of his travelling.*

8. Compliance thereof to be done by the applicant/accused within five working days from today by filing a compliance application with his supporting affidavit/undertaking and all the supporting documents to be duly certified under his hand and identified by his Ld. Counsel. Passport of the applicant/accused which is lying in Court custody be released to him against proper receipt.

9. In terms of the above order, application stands disposed of.

Copy of order be given *dasti* to the applicant/accused/
Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused as well as IO/HIO of the case.

(COLETTE RASHMI KUJUR)
Special Judge (PC Act) (CBI)-05
RADC/New Delhi/23.07.2026