

IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE FIRST CLASS,

12TH COURT, BANDRA, MUMBAI

Bail Application No.4496/BA/2025 CNR No.MHMM18-017188-2025

Case No.2681/PW/2025 C.R. No.1299/2025 BANDRA POLICE STATION

Order Below Bail Application

Perused bail application and say. Heard both side and perused written argument. Accused Mr. Balu @ Balaji S/o Ganpati Pawar is charged for the offence punishable U/Secs.316(2), 204, 205, 318(4), 319, 336(2), 336(3), 338, 340, 61(2) of the BNS. Offence is non-bailable. There is allegation of cheating of Rs.6 crore and forgery of Government decision. This is fraud of huge amount.

Ld. Advocate for accused has relied on Ambarish Rangshahi Patnigere Vs State of Maharashtra (2010 ALL MR (Cri) 2775), M N G Bharateesh Reddy Vs Ramesh Ranganathan and anr. (Criminal Appeal No.1273 of 2022), Deepak Kumar Shrinivas and anr. Vs State of Chhattisgarh and ors. ((2024) 2 S.C.R. 364 : 2024 INSC 117), International Advanced Research Centre for Powder Metallurgy and New Materials (ARCI) and ors. Vs Nimra Cerglass Technics Private Limited and anr. ((2016) 1 Supreme Court Cases 348), Prabhakar Tiwari Vs State of U.P. and anr. (Criminal Appeal Nos.152 of 2020), Tejas Lalit Soni Vs State of Maharashtra and anr. (2025 ALL MR (Cri) 2756), Tayyab Haider Siddique Vs State of Maharashtra (2025 ALL MR (Cri) 2743) and Arshad Neyaz Khan Vs State of Jharkhand and anr. (2025) LiveLaw (SC) 950). I have perused cited case laws. The facts in cited case laws are distinguishable with the above facts of the case in hand. Hence, with utmost respect the cited case laws are not applicable to the case in hand. Therefore, considering the nature of offence, the accused is not entitle to enlarge on bail. Accordingly, I proceed to pass

the following order -

ORDER

1. Application is rejected.
2. Intimation of this order be send to accused immediately through the Superintendent of Jail.

Mumbai
Date : 08.01.2026

(Girish S. Mane)
Judicial Magistrate First Class,
12th Court, Bandra, Mumbai