

CS No.58/22

Sunita Sharma v Ansal Properties & Industries Pvt. Ltd. Ors.

12.04.2023

Present: Ms. Radha Singh and Sh. Deepak Verma, Advocates for plaintiff.
Sh. Karan Bahmani, Advocate for defendant no.1.
Sh. Rohit Kr. Modi, Advocate for defendant no.2.

Fresh vakalatnama is filed on behalf of defendant no.1 along with the copy of the Resolution of Board of Directors, which is taken on record.

An application under Order VI Rule 17 CPC is filed along with amended plaint. Copies have already been supplied. There is a short question involved in the said application whereby the plaintiff is tendering the amount of court fees today itself and averment is being made vide paragraph no. 19 of the amended plaint. Accordingly, the application under Order VI Rule 17 is disposed of. Let copy of the amended plain be supplied to the defendants and the defendants shall be at liberty to file the reply, if any.

An issue has been raised regarding maintainability of present suit on the ground that the suit is covered by the scope and ambit of Commercial Courts Act, 2015.

Ld. Counsel for the parties request time to cite case law.

In the said circumstances, the matter is adjourned for further arguments and disposal of the issue of maintainability of the present suit on **25.05.2023**.

(Dharmesh Sharma)

Principal District & Sessions Judge
New Delhi/12.04.2023