

10 CS DJ 164/25
HARI RAM Vs. SHYAM SUNDAR

24.05.2025

Present: Sh. Anus-ur-Rehman, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Ramesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.1.
None for the defendant no. 2 and 3.
Sh. Rajiv Ranjan, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.4 with D-4.

Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of the defendant no.4 and time is sought to file WS.

2. The period of filing of WS has already expired. However, ld. Counsel for the defendant no.4 may file WS along with application seeking condonation of delay at the earliest with advance copy to the opposite counsel and it will be considered after hearing both sides.

3. WS on behalf of the defendant no.1 along with application seeking condonation of delay filed. Arguments heard. It is stated that on account of shifting of address of previous counsel, the WS could not be filed on time.

4. Keeping in mind the number of days of delay and reasons, the said application is **allowed** subject to cost of Rs. 500 to be paid to the plaintiff.

5. The mobile number of defendant no.3 has been taken from the defendant no.4 and same is supplied to the counsel for the plaintiff. Accordingly, ld. counsel for the plaintiff is at liberty to ascertain address of

the defendant no.3 from the said mobile number. Even on filing of PF, summons be served on the Whats' App number of said mobile number as per rules.

6. Put up for further proceeding on **23.07.2025**.

(Naresh Kumar Laka)
DJ-07, Central, THC
24.05.2025