

16.02.2026

Present: Sh. Mukul Kumar, Ld. Addl. PP for State.
Sh. SR Rehman and Ms. Payel Dutta, Ld. Counsels for
applicant/accused.
Sh. Manmeet Singh and Sh. Vijay Sharma, Ld. Counsels for the
complainant.
IO Inspector Ajay Kumar is present.

1. **This is an application filed on behalf of applicant/accused Sufiyana Tarannum seeking modification of the order dated 09.02.2026 passed by this court.**
2. Reply to the bail application filed by the IO. The same is taken on record. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Record perused.
3. Persual of the record reveals that as per order dated 09.02.2026, as Rs. 5,00,000 was received in the account of the present applicant, therefore, she was ready to deposit the said amount, as such, in Para 12 of the order, the said amount was required to be deposited vide DD in the name of the complainant with the bail bonds.
4. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused today that the accused has made arrangements of Rs. 2 lakhs and a DD has been made in the name of the complainant, however, for the remaining Rs. 3 lakhs, she needs some time to arrange the same.

5. In view of the facts and circumstances and the submissions made, the present application is allowed and accused is permitted to be released upon depositing DD of Rs. 2 lakhs in the name of complainant with the bail bonds, however, subject to depositing further DD of remaining amount of Rs. 3 lakhs on or before 18.03.2026. The accused shall surrender on 18.03.2026 if she fails to deposit the remaining amount till the said date.
6. **Application stands disposed of accordingly.**
7. It may be noted that the earlier bail order was passed when the accused stated through counsel that she was willing to deposit Rs. 5 lakhs in the account of the complainant. However, this concession vide present order is given primarily because the accused is a lady and that she may participate in Ramzan and also, at the same time arrange for the remaining amount of Rs. 3 lakhs. **Further non-compliance of the same will be seen as misrepresentation to the court only for the purpose of seeking bail.**
8. **Copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for applicants/accused person and in compliance of Sanjay Singh Vs. State (Govt. of NCT of Delhi), Writ Petition Criminal no. 974/22, copy of this order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent to convey the order to the inmate.**

(Saurabh Partap Singh Laler)
ASJ-05, New Delhi District
Patiala House Courts, New Delhi
16.02.2026