



**IN THE COURT OF THE SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND
J.M.F.C. AT N.R.PURA, ITINERATE AT KOPPA**

Present: Sri Raghunatha Gowda K.T.B.Com.,L.L.B,
Senior Civil Judge & J.M.F.C. N.R.Pura, Itinerate at Koppa

Dated this the 19th day of July, 2025

O. S. No.46/2022

Plaintiffs : Sri. N.A. Ramu,
S/o. Late. A.V. Anandaramabhat,
Aged about 62 years,
R/o. House No.14, 1st A Cross,
Anjaneya Nagara, Banashankari,
3rd Stage, Bengaluru – 560 085.

(By Sri L.V.M., Advocate)

V/s

Defendants: 1. Sri. N.A. Sridhara,
S/o. Late. A.V. Anandaramabhat,
Aged about 72 years,
R/o. Balthar, Karimane Village,
Kalkere Post, Koppa Taluk,
Chikkamagaluru Dsitric-577123.

2. Sri. N.A. Dathathreya,
S/o. Late. A.V. Anandaramabhat,
Aged about 70 years,
R/o. House No.28, Sampada,
1st Cross, 1st Phase,
B.E.M.L. Layout,



Rajarajeshwari Nagara,
Bengaluru South – 560 098.

3. Sri. N.A. Venkatesha,
S/o. Late. A.V. Anandaramabhat,
Aged about 68 years,
R/o. House No.15, 'Nandana',
Sampada, Bommarasayyana
Agrahara, Kuruvalli Post,
Thirthahalli Taluk – 577 432,
Shivamogga District.
4. Sri. N.A. Vasantha,
S/o. Late. A.V. Anandaramabhat,
Aged about 65 years,
R/o. Thyagaraja Road,
Bharath Rice Mill Road,
Koppa Town, Koppa Taluk,
Chikkamagaluru District.
5. Sri. N.A. Aravinda (dead) (17.02.2024),
represented by his legal heris
- 5(a) Smt. Jayasri Aravinda,
W/o. Late. N.A. Aravinda,
Aged about 60 years,
- 5(b) Sri. N.A. Sachin,
S/o. Late. N.A. Aravinda,
Aged about 32 years,
- 5(c) Sri. N.A. Sanath A. Balthar,
S/o. Late. N.A. Aravinda,
Aged about 27 years,



All are R/o. House No.5-4-172/20,
Siravista Apartment, Kodiyaguttu,
Ballal Bhat, Mangalore – 575 003.

6. Sri. N.A. Mohan,
S/o. Late. A.V. Anandaramabhat,
Aged about 60 years,
R/o. House No.B-4-305,
Provident Welworth City,
Doddaballapura Road,
Marasandra, Thumakuru.
7. Sri. N.A. Pradeepkumar,
S/o. Late. A.V. Anandaramabhat,
Aged about 58 years,
R/o. House No.304, 3rd Floor,
Bommasandra, Anekal Taluk,
Bengaluru – 560 099.

(Defendant No.1 to 3 and 5 to 7, defendant No.5(a) to 5(c)
are represented by Sri M.S.N., Advocate, defendant No.4
represented by Sri B.S.N., Advocate)

I.A. No.XIX,

Applicant/Plaintiff : Sri. Ramu
V/s

Opponents/ Defendants : Sri. N.A. Sridhar & others



ORDERS ON I.A. No.XIX

When the matter posted for further defendant evidence, at this stage plaintiff counsel filed this application U/Order VII Rule 14(3) R/W. Sec.151 of C.P.C. to production of additional documents.

2. It is stated in the affidavit annexed to this application plaintiff contended that recently he obtained the documents and the production of document is very much necessary to adjudicate the matter in dispute and if the application is allowed no loss or injury will be caused to the defendants. Hence he sought to allow the application.

3. Opposing the said application defendant counsel filed the objection to said application contended that the application filed by the plaintiff is not maintainable either in law or facts and the documents produce by the plaintiff is created for the purpose of his case and the said document is not relevant to this case and in order to drag the proceedings. Hence he prays to dismiss the applications.



4. Heard learned counsel for the plaintiff and defendants and perused the materials placed before the court.

5. Now, the points that would arise for my consideration are:

1. Whether the plaintiff has made out sufficient ground to allow this application?
2. What order?

6. My finding on the aforesaid points for consideration is:

Point No. 1 : In the Affirmative.

Point No. 2 : As per final order
for the following:

REASONS

7. **Point No.1:** Perused the materials placed before the court and it shows that, the plaintiff filed this suit against defendants for relief of partition and separate possession and now matter posted for further defendant evidence at this stage the plaintiff filed this application to production of documents.



8. It is the contention of the plaintiff that at the earlier stage he could not produce the documents and now the said document obtained recently. Hence it is necessary to permit him to produce the documents. It is also stated that the said documents are very much necessary to prove his case and that he has a very good case on merits.

9. On analysis of the rival contentions of both the parties and considering the facts and circumstances it is just and proper to allowing this application no hardship will be caused to the defendants and the defendants has avail every right to cross examined the PW-1 on the said documents and the interest of justice would be sub served if an opportunity is given to the plaintiff to substantiate his claim. However the inconvenience cause to the defendant can be compensated by imposing suitable costs to the plaintiff. Hence this court proceeds to answer the aforesaid points for consideration in the affirmative and pass the following:

**ORDER**

I.A. No. XIX filed by the plaintiff U/Order VII Rule 14(3) R/W. Sec.151 of C.P.C. are hereby allowed on cost of Rs.200/-.

The additional documents produced by the plaintiff taken on record.

Sd/-

(Raghunatha Gowda K.T.)
Senior Civil Judge and J.M.F.C.,
N.R.Pura, Itinerate at Koppa.