



mesers shanti construction
Vs
C. E. O. zilla parishad ratnagiri ect 2

1. Perused the record and proceedings. The decree-holder remains absent. The record reveals that the execution proceeding is pending since the year 2021. It is expected of the decree-holder to take proper and meaningful steps to prosecute the execution.
2. Further, as per the directions of the **Hon'ble Apex Court in Periyamal v. Rajamani (2025)**, the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court, and **Circular No. INSP-I/63/25 dated 28 March 2025 of Hon'ble Bombay High Court**, execution proceedings are required to be decided and disposed of preferably within a period of six months.
3. However, due to the failure of the decree-holder to take proper and meaningful steps, the execution could not proceed further. The matter has thus unnecessarily occupied the docket of the Court. The record indicates that sufficient opportunities were granted to the decree-holder to take steps, including filing an application for attachment and seizure of the attachable property of the judgment-debtor. Despite the same, no proper steps have been taken. It therefore appears that the decree-holder is not interested in prosecuting the execution for recovery of the decretal amount. Hence, the execution application is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Khed.

Date :- 14/07/2026

(G.D.Patil)
Jt. Civil Judge, S.D., Khed,
Tal. Khed, Dist. Ratnagiri.

CERTIFICATE

Case No.	:	Spl. Dkst. No. 2-2021
I affirm that the contents of this pdf file Judgment/order are same word as per original Judgment/order.		
Name of Stenographer	:	Smt. S.K.Kharat
Court Name	:	G.D.Patil Joint Civil Judge, Senior Division.
Date of Decision	:	14.07.2026
Judgment /Order signed by Presiding Officer on	:	14.07.2026
Judgment /Order uploaded on	:	14.07.2026