



**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS AT DINDOSHI  
(BORIVALI DIVISION), GOREGAON, MUMBAI**

**TRANSIT ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1854 OF 2021**

**IN**

**( Bangur Nagar Police Station )**

**Mr. Dhillin Harshad Mehta,**

Age : 39 yrs.,

Adult, Indian Inhabitant, Occupation : business,

R/o : C/503, Opp Movie times cinema,

Evershine Nagar, Malad West,

Mumbai : 400 064

**..Applicant**

**Vs**

**The State of Maharashtra**

( through Bangur Nagar Police Station )

(FIR registered by Udhyog Vihar, Gurugram)

**..Respondents**

Ld. Adv. Imran Shaikh for the applicant.

Ld. APP Sachin Jadhav, for the State.

**CORAM : SHRI S. U. BAGHELE,  
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,  
COURT ROOM NO.09.**

**DATE : 4<sup>th</sup> January, 2022**

**ORAL ORDER**

This is an application for the grant of anticipatory bail under section 438 of the Cr.P.C., in connection with NACT No.

19887/2013 pending before Gurugram, JMFC Court.

2 Perused the application, the say filed thereon and the documents relied upon in support thereof.

3 Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the State.

4 It is submitted by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is in custody, since 2015. Proclamation and production warrant have been issued against him, due to which, there is an apprehension of his arrest, after being enlarged on bail. Per contra, it is submitted by the learned APP that the application is not maintainable. The applicant is not residing at the given address. The alleged offence is serious.

5 Indisputedly, the applicant moved the present anticipatory bail application for transit anticipatory bail, by remaining in prison. Therefore, he could have moved a regular anticipatory bail application before the concerned court in an identical manner, by remaining in jail. On this ground itself, the present anticipatory bail application is not maintainable, without touching the other aspects. Thus, I proceed to pass the following order :

**ORDER**

- 1) Transit Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1854 of 2021 is hereby rejected and disposed of accordingly.
- 2) The concerned Police Station be informed accordingly.

Sd/-

**(S. U. BAGHELE)**

Dt. 04/01/2022

Additional Sessions Judge,  
Borivali Div., Dindoshi, Mumbai

Dictated on : 04/01/2022  
Transcribed on : 04/01/2022  
Signed on : 04/01/2022

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL SIGNED JUDGMENT/ORDER.”

04/01/2022 at 5.29 p.m.  
UPLOAD DATE AND TIME

Mrs. S.B. Vichare  
NAME OF STENOGRAPHER

Name of Judge (with Court  
room no.)

HHJ Shri S. U. Baghele, City Civil & Sessions  
Court, Borivali Div., Dindoshi, Mumbai  
( C.R.No. 09 )

Date of Pronouncement of  
JUDGEMENT/ORDER

04/01/2022

JUDGEMENT/ORDER signed by  
P.O. on

04/01/2022

JUDGEMENT/ORDER uploaded  
on

04/01/2022