

In The Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Chandernagore

Criminal Miscellaneous Case No. 284 of 2026

**Present: Shri Manabendra Mohan Sarkar,
Additional Sessions Judge,
Chandannagar, Hooghly,
J.O Code – WB-01486**

Ref : Bhadreswar P.S Case No. 135 of 2026 dated 18.05.2026, u/s 85/80(2)/316(4)/3(5) of BNS filed on behalf of the accused/petitioners namely 1) Bibhuti Bhusan Pramanik, S/o Lt. Biseshwar Pramanik and 2) Eti Pramanik, W/o Bibhuti Bhushan Pramanik of Purashree, Chandannagar, Hooghly.

Order No. 02, Dated 25.06.2026

In this Criminal Misc. Case u/S. - 483 of B.N.S.S, the accused petitioners namely **1) Bibhuti Bhusan Pramanik and 2) Eti Pramanik**, come up with a prayer for bail in connection with **Bhadreswar P.S Case No. 135 of 2026 dated 18.05.2026, u/s 85/80(2)/316(4)/3(5) of BNS (G.R No. 952 of 2026).**

The instant Criminal Misc. Case is taken up for hearing.

At the outset, Ld. Advocate appearing for the accused/petitioners submits that no application for bail u/s-439 Cr.P.C./ 483 of B.N.S.S has either been rejected by the Hon'ble Court, Calcutta or is pending for disposal before the Hon'ble Courts.

Ld. P.P. submits that there is no indication in the C.D. which goes to suggests that prayer for bail of the petitioners has either been rejected by the Hon'ble High Court or is pending before the Hon'ble High Court for disposal.

Heard Ld. Advocate appearing for the accused/petitioners as well as Ld. P.P. In-charge for the State.

Ld. Advocate appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners submits that the accused/petitioners are innocent and falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the accused/petitioners have been languishing behind the bar since 19.05.2026. He further submits that these accused/petitioners are the parents-in-law of the deceased and they are aged persons and one of the petitioners namely Bibhuti Bhushan Pramanik is suffering from cardiac disease. He further submits that the accused/petitioners did not commit dowry death of the deceased. He further submits that both the accused/petitioners are the local inhabitant and they are not the principal accused. He further submits that if the accused/petitioners are released on bail they will appear before the court on each and every date and they will not flee away. Accordingly, Ld. advocate prays for their bail on any terms and conditions.

Ld. P.P raised objection.

C.D is produced.

Perused the case record. I also perused the available materials in the C.D. I carefully go through the contents of the FIR and statement of the witnesses recorded u/s 180 of BNSS. On perusal of the C.D it is found that these accused/petitioners are the parents-in-law of the deceased. It also appears from the case C.D that on the date of the incident the mother of the deceased was present in the matrimonial house of the deceased. From the statement of the witness it appears that on the date of the incident there was no incident of quarrel with the deceased.

Considering all aspects including the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the age of the accused/petitioners, I am inclined to enlarge the accused/petitioners on bail.

Accordingly, the accused/petitioners namely **1) Bibhuti Bhusan Pramanik and 2) Eti Pramanik** may find bail of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of Rs. 5,000/- each, one of whom must be cash surety or local surety, to the satisfaction of the Ld. A.C.J.M, Chandannagar with the condition that after being enlarged on bail, they shall not try to tamper or hamper any of the prosecution evidences and they must attend the court on each and every date.

C.D be returned.

Hence, the instant Cr. Misc. Case is disposed of.

Let a copy of this order along with L.C.R be sent to the learned A.C.J.M., Chandernagore for information and necessary action.

D & C by me,

Sd/-

Additional Sessions Judge
Chandernagore

Sd/-

Additional Sessions Judge
Chandernagore