

-1-

**BAIL MATTERS 520/2026
THE STATE Vs. RANJEET
FIR No-0020/2026
PS-(Khajuri Khas)**

06.07.2026

Present: Sh. Ghanshyam, Ld. Addl. PP for State.
Sh. Ashish Verma, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.
IO ASI Mahesh in person.

1. The present application has been moved U/s 483 of BNSS 2023, seeking grant of regular bail for applicant/accused **Ranjeet**, who was arrested on 12.01.2026, on allegations of committing offence U/s 221/132/281/109(1)/3(5) of BNS.

2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused has submitted that similar application is neither pending nor has been recently dismissed by any higher court.

3. Reply has been filed today. Copy supplied.

4. The brief facts of this case are that in the night of 12.01.2026, Ct. Mukesh, HC Amit and ASI Ankush were on patrolling duty. At about 05:00 AM, the aforesaid police party received an information that illicit liquor was being transported in an i-10 car. The information was conveyed to the concerned SHO who directed them to take further action. Accordingly, ASI Ankush, HC Amit and Ct. Sudan put barricades at old PS cut and started checking the vehicles. At about 05:50 AM, an i-10 car came towards the police party and the police party gestured him to stop but the vehicle took a U-turn and tried to sped away. When the police party asked them to stop, they hit the private car of the police party. Accordingly, the present case FIR was registered.

-2-

5. During course of arguments, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has submitted that applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in this case and he had not committed any such offence as alleged. Ld. Counsel has further submitted that even if the allegations levelled against the applicant/accused are accepted as truthful, the offence in question is not made out. No intention to cause fatal injury can be inferred from the act of hitting the car against the car of police party because similar harm could have caused to the applicant/accused and his associates also.

6. Ld. Counsel has further submitted that applicant/accused is in JC for last about 06 months and process of investigation is complete and chargesheet has been filed before the court. All the witnesses are police personnels and applicant/accused is not in a position to advance any threats to the witnesses. With these submissions, Ld. Counsel has prayed for release of applicant/accused on bail.

7. On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for State has strongly opposed the bail application stating that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. Ld. Addl. PP for State has also submitted that applicant/accused has no clean antecedents as previously he was also found involved in other criminal cases.

8. Submissions heard. Record has been perused.

9. In order to prove its case, the investigating agency has filed a list of 22 witnesses. Needless to say, the examination of these witnesses shall consume a considerable time. Further, all the witnesses are police witnesses and the applicant/accused does not appear to be in any position to advance any threats to them. Moreover,

-3-

the process of investigation has already completed and chargesheet has been filed before the court. So, whatever evidence was to be collected has already been collected. So, the assistance of applicant/accused is not required to collect further evidence.

10. One of the ground to oppose the application taken by Ld. Addl. PP for State is the previous involvement of the applicant/accused. This court is of the considered opinion that previous involvement can not be considered as a substantial ground to decline the application of applicant/accused.

11. Applicant/accused is in JC for more than 06 months now. This court is of the considered opinion that no purpose shall be served by keeping the applicant/accused in further custody. In view of the above circumstances, without entering into much discussion upon the merits of the case, the present application stands **allowed** subject to condition of furnishing personal bond in the sum of **Rs. 25,000/ with one surety** in like amount to the satisfaction of this Court/Duty Magistrate. He shall abide by following conditions also:-

i) The applicant /accused shall provide his latest address to the IO /SHO concerned and in the event of change of address, he shall ensure that he provides his fresh address to IO /SHO concerned immediately.

ii) The applicant/accused shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him or her from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

~~-4-~~

iii) He shall appear before the Court on each and every date of hearing.

12. Application stands disposed of.
13. It is needless to say that nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to expression of an opinion on the merits of the case.
14. Copy of this order be given dasti.
15. **Ordered accordingly.**

(Babru Bhan)
ASJ-04/North-East District
KKD/Delhi/06.07.2026