

CA no.18/26
Sarita vs Naresh Sharma
PS Gokalpuri

03.07.2026

Present: Appellant in person alongwith Sh. Chirag, proxy counsel.
Respondent in person.
Sh. Ashish Shukla, ld. counsel for respondent.

Today again, an adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant on the same ground. It appears that the appellant is delaying the matter deliberately.

Cost in terms of previous order has been paid by the appellant to the respondent.

Although, today I am not imposing any cost on the appellant but I am making it very clear that no adjournment will be given after today.

At the same time, the appellant has not complied with the order dated 19.01.2026, whereby appellant was directed to deposite 20% of the compensation amount. Same shll be deposited on or before the NDOH, otherwise, legal consequences shall follow.

Furthermore, the surety of the appellant has not been verified and the report filed by the IO says that he had made a call to the surety who did not cooperate and the report has been forwarded by SHO Gokul Puri.

When on the LDOH, specific noting was made by the court upon the bail bonds to ensure the verification of the surety by providing the nearby landmarks of the address, the verifying officer was required to proceed to that address instead

of sitting in his office and making calls to the surety.

Matter be brought to the notice of the DCP concerned to look in this matter and shall ensure the filing of verification report on or before NDOH.

Bail bonds furnished by the appellant are accepted till NDOH.

Appeal be re-notified for **31.07.2026**.

(Parveen Singh)
ASJ-03(NE)/KKD Courts/Delhi
03.07.2026