

Government of Karnataka

(C.R.P.67)

**BEFORE THE 1<sup>st</sup> ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT,  
CHIKKAMAGALURU.****S.C.No.95/2024****PW.9  
CW.18**

(The witness is present in the remote point at RFSL, Mangaluru. The co-ordinator at remote point i.e., Sri.Padmaj Kalgudkar, Scientific officer, Biology section, RFSL, Mangaluru, who is co-ordinator of remote point is present and Smt. Hemavathi.H.I, Typist-Copyist who is the co-ordinator of court point is present. Before recording the evidence through Video Conferencing, it is ascertained that the voice is clearly audible and there is no technical defect in recording the evidence of witness through Video Conferencing.)

(The accused is in judicial custody and today he is produced through video conferencing from District Prison, Chikkamagaluru and before recording the evidence of witness, it is got confirmed from the accused that the voice is clearly audible to him and there is no technical defect in recording the evidence of witness through Video Conferencing.)

**Duly Sworn on:22.12.2025**

Witness Name : Kasthuri Vadeyar  
Husband's Name : Ravi Hugar  
Age : 42 years  
Occupation : Senior Scientific Officer,  
Biology section, RFSL  
Mangaluru.  
Place of Residence : Mangaluru

**Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor:-**

I worked as Scientific Officer from 2014 to December 2019 at RFSL Mangaluru and presently I am working as Senior Scientific Officer in the said laboratory.

2. On 24.05.2024, 07 sealed articles pertaining to Crime No.77/2024 of Town Police station, Chikkamagaluru were received in our laboratory for examination through CPC Girish B.N of said station i.e., CW.23. The seal found on the said articles were intact and tallied with the sample seal sent by the Investigating Officer and the same were registered as B.S.261/2024. I opened the said articles on 01.06.2024 for examination and the description found on the articles were corresponding to the description made in the invoice. On opening, I found following articles:-

1. Control swab
2. Blood stained swab
3. Blood stained swab
4. One stone
5. One nightie, it may be chudidhar top also, as it was long, I had named it as nightie.
6. One saree
7. Blood stained swab

3. I have subjected aforesaid articles to Benzidine/Phenophthalein tests. Further the presence of blood stains was also confirmed by conducting Takayama crystal test. The presence of blood stains were detected on all articles No.2 to 7. The nature of stains and their extent, size and location were also mentioned in the report.

4. The specimen cuttings/scrapings of the blood stained articles were subjected to serological analysis and the origin was determined by GEL Diffusion method, Cross Over Electrophoresis and the blood grouping was determined by the Absorption Elution method. The blood stains detected on articles No.2 to 7 were of human origin. The blood group of stains noted on said articles could not be determined as the results of the test were inconclusive.

5. In this regard I prepared the reported on 20.06.2024 and digitally signed it on 21.06.2024 and forwarded the same to the investigating officer through FLMS. After examination, I repacked those articles and sealed the same with sample seal of RFSL, Mangaluru and handed over the same to the concerned Police. Now I see the said report and it bears my digital signature. The said report is marked as Ex.P22. I can identify the articles examined by me as I had put BS number as B.S.261/2024, my short signature and round seal of our office on the slips affixed on the said articles.

6. Now I see said articles, which were examined by me and my signature is found on the slips affixed on the cloth bags containing said articles and I also see round seal of our office on the said slips with B.S.261/2024. Out of said articles, control swab, two blood stained swabs, one chudidar top which is named by the witness as nightie, one saree and one stone are already marked as MO.1 to MO.6 respectively. One blood stained swab I.e, blood collected in gauze piece is

now marked as MO.7. The signatures of the witness identified on the slips affixed on MO.1 to MO.7 are marked as MO.1(d), MO.2(d), MO.3(d), MO.4(b), MO.5(b), MO.6(b) and MO.7(a).

**Cross Examination by Sri.ND, Deputy Chief Legal Aid defense counsel:**

7. I had not taken the photographs of the articles to say that the seal found on it were intact. Along with the requisition, the police had sent the complaint, FIR, invoice and sample seal. The details of the case were also uploaded in the FLMS. It is false to suggest that without conducting the examination of the articles, I had issued false report as per Ex.P22 only on the basis of the information uploaded in FLMS. It is false to suggest that I issued false report and deposing falsely at the say of police.

**Re-examination: Nil.**

(Typed to my dictation in Open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

**(BHANUMATHI. B.C.)**

1<sup>st</sup> Addl. District and Sessions Judge,  
Chikkamagaluru.