

**Government of Karnataka
(C.R.P.67)**

**IN THE COURT OF 1st ADDL. DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHIKKAMAGALURU.
S.C.No.54/2025**

PW- 08

CW- 13

Duly Sworn on: 27-10-2025

Witness Name : Dr.Geethanjali G.
Husband's Name : Umesh
Age : 36 years
Occupation : Assistant Professor
Chikkamagaluru
Institute of Medical
Science
Place of Residence : Chikkamagaluru.

***(The accused is in judicial custody and today
he is produced through video conferencing from
District Prison, Chikkamagaluru and before
recording the evidence of witness, it is got
confirmed from the accused that the voice is clearly
audible to him.)***

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor:-

I am working as Assistant Professor at
Chikkamagaluru Institute of Medical Science at
Chikkamagaluru since 06.04.2023.

2. On 15.01.2025 at 9.20 a.m, one Sharada W/o
Manjunath, aged about 20 years, resident of

Shankarapura, Chikkamagaluru was brought to our hospital by her mother and husband.

3. On examination, patient was unconscious and her pulse rate was 90 beats per minute, blood pressure was 130/80, saturation was 100%, GRPS was 81 miligram. Per abdominal examination, it showed uterus corresponding to 34 weeks of gestation and her uterus was relaxed. Per vaginal examination, I noticed that it was 2 c.m dilated and 60% effaced. As patient was unconscious and the history of convulsions was given, I treated the patient accordingly and on the same day, I referred the patient to higher center. When we were about to shift the patient, the mother of the patient gave history of assault on the patient by her husband. Later upon the request made by the concerned police, the inpatient registration form and case sheet containing observation sheet were issued by our hospital. Now I see said inpatient registration form, case sheet and observation sheets pertaining to examination of patient by name Sharada in our hospital on 15.01.2025 and the same are together marked as Ex.P12. Upon identification, two signatures of the witness in said inpatient registration form and case

sheet are marked as Ex.P12(a) and Ex.P12(b).

Cross Examination by Sri.GSS, counsel for accused:

4. It is false to suggest that the case sheet is not prepared by me. Observation sheet was prepared by the duty nurse under my observation and guidelines. There is no necessity to mention that the observation sheet was prepared under my guidelines by the duty nurse. Patient was brought to our hospital at 9.20 a.m on 15.01.2025 and she was admitted as inpatient and later on the same day, at around 12.30 p.m, she was referred to higher center. On that day patient was accompanied by her husband and mother and they were with the patient till the discharge. It is true to suggest that the concerned form was signed by the patient's husband i.e. Manjunath. The history was given by patient's mother and at that point of time, Manjunath was also present with her.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in Open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

(BHANUMATHI. B.C.)

1st Addl. District and Sessions Judge,
Chikkamagaluru.