

Government of Karnataka

(C.R.P.67)

BEFORE THE 2<sup>nd</sup> ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT,  
CHIKKAMAGALURU.S.C.No.29/2023CW-30  
PW-7

(The witness is present in the remote point at State FSL, Bengaluru. The co-ordinator at remote point i.e., Sachin, Scientific Officer, who is co-ordinator of remote point is present and Smt.Vidya H.C., Typist-Copyist who is the co-ordinator of court point is present. Before recording the evidence through Video Conferencing, it is ascertained that the voice is clearly audible and there is no technical defect in recording the evidence of witness through Video Conferencing.)

Duly Sworn on:14.02.2024.

Witness Name : Karthik  
 Father's Name : Devaraju  
 Age : 34 years  
 Occupation : Scientific Officer, State  
 Forensic Science  
 Laboratory, Bengaluru.  
 Place of Residence : Bengaluru.

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor:-

I am working as Scientific Officer at State Forensic Science Laboratory, Bengaluru since 2021.

1. On 02.12.2022, 7 sealed articles pertaining to Crime No.50/2022 of Jayapura P.S., were received in our laboratory for examination through P.C. Mahesh of said Station along with requisition of investigating officer and the same were forwarded to me for examination. The seals found on said articles were intact and tallied with the sample seal sent by the Investigating Officer. I opened the said articles for

examination and the description found on the articles were corresponding to the description made in the invoice. On opening, I found following articles:-

1. One sealed envelope said to contain two rug pieces of black, green and red colour.

2. One sealed envelope said to contain a rug piece of red colour.

3. One sealed envelope said to contain a rug piece of blue colour.

4. One sealed envelope said to contain cellophane lifting's collected from right side of the neck.

5. One sealed envelope said to contain cellophane lifting's collected from the left side of the neck.

6. One sealed envelope said to contain cellophane lifting's collected from front side of the neck.

7. One sealed envelope said to contain control cellophane lifting's.

2) On opening, in article No.1 I noted two rug pieces of black, green and brown colour. In article No.2 I found a rug piece of red and white colour. In article No.3 I found a rug piece of blue colour. In article No.6 of I.O. marking I found a glass slide with transparent adhesive tape sticking to it. In article No.7 of I.O. marking I found a glass slide with transparent adhesive tape sticking to it. In article No.8 of I.O. marking I found a glass slide with transparent adhesive tape sticking to it. In article No.9 of I.O. marking I found a glass slide with transparent adhesive tape sticking to it.

3) I have subjected said articles to microscopic examination, physical measurement and visual observation. On the basis of the examination, I am of the opinion that:-

1. The cellophane lifting's marked as article No.6,7 and 8 contained cloth fibers.

2.The cellophane lifting's marked as article No.9 does not contain any cloth fibers.

3.The black, white and light blue fibers found in cellophane lifting's marked as article number 6 are similar to the black, white, light blue fibers collected from rug pieces marked as article No.1.

4. The black and white fibers in cellophane lifting's marked as article No.7,8 are similar to the black, white fibers collected from rug pieces marked as article No.1.

5. The red fibers found in cellophane tape lifting's marked as articles No.6,7,8 are similar to the red fibers collected from rug piece marked as articles No.2.

6. The blue fibers found in cellophane tape lifting's marked as articles No.6,7,8 are similar to the blue fibers collected from rug piece as articles No.3.

4) In the said regard I issued report on 09.12.2022. Now I see said report and it bears my digital signature. Said report is marked as Ex.P.28. After examination I repacked said articles and sealed the same and handed over to the concerned police.

5) I can identify the articles examined by me as I had put BS number as PS No.142/2022, my small signature on

the slips affixed on the said articles. Now I see two rug pieces of black , green and red colour and the same are together marked as M.O. 5 already. Now I see 2 pieces of rug of red and blur colour and same are already marked as MO 6 and 7. Now I see glass slights with transparent adhesive take said to have been lifted from the right side of the neck, left side of the neck and front side of the neck, and control cellophane lifting. The same are now marked as M.O. 13 to 16 respectively. The signatures of the witness affixed on the slip M.O. 5, 6, and 7 are marked as MO.5(b), 6(b), and 7(b). The signatures of the witness affixed on the slip M.O. 13 to 16 are marked as MO.13(a) to 16(a).

ಪಾಟೀ ಸವಾಲು: LADCS ನ Deputy Chief Defense counsel ಆದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್ ಡಿ ವಕೀಲರಿಂದ: ಆರೋಪಿ ಪರವಾಗಿ:

6). ವಸ್ತುಗಳ ಮೇಲಿದ್ದ ಸೀಲು ಸುಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿತ್ತು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಅದು ಸುಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿತ್ತು ಎಂದು ತೋರಿಸಲು ನೀವು ಅದರ ಫೋಟೋಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ವೀಡಿಯೋ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ಎಂದರೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಫೋಟೋ ತೆಗೆದಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಎನ್ನುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ಫೋಟೋಗಳನ್ನು ನೀವು ವರದಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ನೀಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ಎಂದರೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದ ಹೌದು ಎನ್ನುತ್ತಾರೆ. ವರದಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಫೋಟೋಗಳು ನೀವು ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದಾಗ ಅವುಗಳು ಇದ್ದ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತೆಗೆದ ಫೋಟೋಗಳು ಅಲ್ಲ ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ನಿ.ಪಿ.28ರ ವರದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಒಳಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಲಾದ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ನಮೂದು ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದರೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಬಳಿ ಇರುವ observation sheet ನಲ್ಲಿ ಸದರಿ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ನಮೂದು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ observation sheet ನ್ನು ನೀವು ವರದಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ನೀಡಲು ಏನಾದರೂ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆ ಇತ್ತೇ ಎಂದರೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ observation sheet ನಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದಿರುವುದನ್ನೇ ನಾನು ನಿ.ಪಿ.28 ವರದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ ಅದನ್ನು ವರದಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ನೀಡಿಲ್ಲ ಎನ್ನುತ್ತಾರೆ. ನಾನು ಪರೀಕ್ಷಿಸಿದ

ಯಾವುದೇ ವಸ್ತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂದಲುಗಳು ಕಂಡು ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸೆಲ್ಫೋ ಟೀಪುಗಳನ್ನು ರಗ್ಗುಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಂಟಿಸಿ ತೆಗೆದರೆ ನೀವು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಕಂಡು ಬರುವ ಸಾಧ್ಯತೆ ಇದೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿ. ವಸ್ತುಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ತನಿಖಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಯಾವುದೇ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಆದರೆ ಪ್ರಕರಣಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಕೆಲವು ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು scan ಮಾಡಿ upload ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರು. ನೀವು ಯಾವುದೇ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾವುದೇ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಒಳಪಡಿಸದೇ ಪೊಲೀಸರು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಮಾಹಿತಿಯ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ನಿಪಿ.28ರಂತೆ ಸುಳ್ಳು ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಸುಳ್ಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ನುಡಿಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in Open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

ಸಹಿ/-

(BHANUMATHI. B.C.)

2<sup>nd</sup> Addl. District and Sessions Judge,  
Chikkamagaluru.