

said articles were intact and tallied with the sample seal sent by the Investigating Officer. I opened the said articles for examination and the description found on the articles were corresponding to the description made in the invoice. On opening, I found following articles:-

- 1.One petticoat piece.
- 2.One Jeans pant.
- 3.One Shirt.
- 4.One Bedsheet piece.
- 5.One nighty.
- 6.one Langa.
- 7.One Panty.
- 8.Blood in gauze.
9. Blood sample.

2) The specimen scraping/cuttings from the suspected areas on the aforesaid 9 articles were tested for blood using presumptive tests namely benzidine/phenophthalein/leuco-Malachite-Green tests. Further the presence of blood stains was also confirmed by conducting takayama crystal test. The presence of blood stains was detected on article No.1,2,4 to 4 and 8. The nature of stains and their extent, size and location were also mentioned in the report.

3) The specimen cuttings/scrapings of the blood stained articles were subjected to serological analysis and the origin was determined by GEL Diffusion method, cross over Electrophoresis and the blood grouping was determined by the absorption elution method. Item No.1,2,4 to 4 and 8.

were stained with human blood. The group of blood stains in said article could not be determined as the results of the test were inconclusive. The blood stains in item No.8 and 11 were disintegrated and as such their origin and group could not be determined. The article No.9 with I.O. marking NO.11 was sent to toxicology section for further examination.

4) In this regard, I issued report through our Deputy Director to the Investigating Officer. After examination, I repacked those articles and sealed the same with sample seal of RFSL, Mangaluru and handed over the same to the concerned Police except aforesaid article No.9 with I.O. marking No.11. Now I see the said report and it bears my signature. The said report is marked as Ex.P27 and the signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P.27(a). I can identify the articles examined by me as I had put BS number as BS No.390/2022, my small signature and round seal of our office on the slips affixed on the said articles.

5) Now I see said articles, which were examined by me and my small signature is found on the slips affixed on the cloth bags containing said articles and I also see round seal of our office on the said slips with BS No.390/2022. Now I see one petticoat piece, one Jeans pant, one Shirt, one Bedsheet piece and said articles are already marked as MO.8,3,4 and M.O.2 respectively. Now I see one nighty, one Langa, one Panty and Blood in gauze are now marked as M.O.9 to M.O.12 respectively. The signature of the witness

found on the slips affixed on the said articles are now marked as MO.8(b),3(b),4(b), 2(b) and M.O.9(a) to MO.12(a).

ಪಾಟೀ ಸವಾಲು: LADCS ನ Deputy Chief Defense counsel ಆದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್ ಡಿ ವಕೀಲರಿಂದ: ಆರೋಪಿ ಪರವಾಗಿ:

6. ವಸ್ತುಗಳ ಮೇಲಿದ್ದ ಸೀಲು ಸುಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿತ್ತು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಅದು ಸುಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿತ್ತು ಎಂದು ತೋರಿಸಲು ನೀವು ಅದರ ಪೋಟೋಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ಎಂದರೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎನ್ನುತ್ತಾರೆ. ವಸ್ತುಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ತನಿಖಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು, ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ತನಿಖಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಇನ್ವೋಯ್ಸ್ ನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು. ವರದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಮಾದರಿ ಸೀಲನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಾ ಎಂದರೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೌದು ಎನ್ನುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಪೊಲೀಸರು ವಸ್ತುಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಮಾದರಿ ಸೀಲನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು. ನೀವು ಯಾವುದೇ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾವುದೇ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಒಳಪಡಿಸದೇ ಪೊಲೀಸರು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಮಾಹಿತಿಯ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ನಿಪಿ.27 ರಂತೆ ಸುಳ್ಳು ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಸುಳ್ಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ನುಡಿಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂದರೆ ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in Open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

ಸಹಿ/-

(BHANUMATHI. B.C.)

2nd Addl. District and Sessions Judge,
Chikkamagaluru.