

Bail Matters 7/2026
STATE Vs. RAHUL ALIAS VICKY
FIR NO. 634/2025
PS Pahar Ganj

05.02.2026

This is an application for bail filed on behalf of the accused Rahul alias Vicky.

Present: Sh. Shashank Tyagi, Ld. APP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the accused.

Reply of IO is perused.

I have heard the arguments on behalf of Ld. APP for the State and Ld. Counsel for accused.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused submitted by virtue of the present application that accused has been falsely implicated in the present case and on the date of incident, he was present in the court. Hence, prayed for the bail.

On the other hand, it is submitted by the Ld. APP for the State, that the offence against the accused are serious in nature and if at this stage accused is released on bail, he may repeat the offence. Hence, accused is not entitled to bail.

As per the report of the IO, several previous involvements are reported against the accused and investigation is at the nascent stage. Therefore, there are chances that he may repeat the offence. As far as, the presence of the accused in the Court on the day of occurrence is concerned, it is subject matter of the trial and prima facie, considering the time gap, cannot be a factor at the time bail. Considering severity of the offence and the manner in which the crime has been committed, the accused is not

entitled to be released on bail. Hence, I am not inclined to exercise my discretion in favour of the accused.

Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

Copy of this order be given *dasti* if prayed for.

(Neetu Sharma)
ACJM-01/CENTRAL
05.02.2026