

**IN THE COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC AT  
HIRIYUR**

Dated on this 20<sup>th</sup> day of January 2026

Present: SMT. SUJATHA SUVARNA B.,  
B.Com., LL.B.,

Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,  
Hiriyur

CC.No.60/2025

Complainant : Hiriyur Rural Police Station

[Rep. By APP]

Vs

Accused

: 1. Venkatesha  
S/o. Hanumanthappa, 40 years,

2. Devamma @ Devi. A  
D/o. Venkatesha, 36 years,

3. Rajeshwari  
D/o. Mahesha @ Suresha, 28 years

4. Nagaraja. H  
S/o. Hanumanthappa, 29 years

5. Devaraja R  
S/o. Ramanna, 22 years

7. Suresha @ Mahesha  
S/o. Hanumanthabovi, 34 years

8. Thimmaiah. H  
S/o. Hanumanthabovi, 35 years

9. Girisha. T  
S/o. Thimmabhovi, 24 years

All are R/at Dindavara Bhovi colony  
village, Hiriyur Taluk

[Rep. By. Sri.Y.R.K., Advocate]

**ORDERS ON BAIL APPLICATION**

The counsel for the accused has filed bail application Sec.437 of Cr.P.C.

2. The brief facts of the application is as follows:

The accused No.1 to 5 & 7 to 9 have not committed any offence alleged by the complainant and stated that, they are law abiding and peace loving persons, though they have not committed any offence the Rural police have registered false case against them and they are ready to offer surety to the satisfaction of this court and they are willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this court. As such prayed to allow the bail application.

Per contra, learned APP has filed the objection and stated that, accused persons have committed the O/P/U/Sec. 143, 147, 148, 323, 324, 326, 504, 506 R/W 146 of IPC. The state further submitted that, if the accused persons released on bail, then they may threaten the prosecution witnesses as such prayed to reject the bail application.

4. The following point arise for determination

1. Whether the accused No.1 to 5 & 7 to 9 are entitled for bail under Sec.437 of Cr.P.C., as prayed for?
2. What order?

5. I have heard the learned counsel for accused No.1 to 5 & 7 to 9 and learned APP and perused the available materials. My finding to the above point is in affirmative for the following:

### **REASONS**

**6. Point No.1:** I have considered the above application along with the objection filed by the L/APP.

7. The Offence alleged against the accused No.1 to 5 & 7 to 9 are not punishable with death sentence or imprisonment for life. The alleged offences are exclusively triable by this court. Already investigation is completed and filed charge sheet. The apprehension of the prosecution can be taken care of by putting condition of the accused. If the accused kept behind the bar no purpose will be served. Hence, considering the nature of allegations made in the first information and the prosecution materials, I am of the opinion that, the apprehension of state can be suitable meet out by imposing reasonable conditions. Therefore, I hold that, the bail application filed the accused are deserved to be allowed. Accordingly, I answer the above point in affirmative. In the result I proceed to pass the following:

**ORDER**

The bail application filed by the accused No.1 to 5 & 7 to 9 U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C is allowed. Thereby, they are ordered to be release on bail on execution of personal bond for Rs.50,000/- each with a surety for the likesum. The accused shall follow the following:

**CONDITIONS:**

1. The accused No.1 to 5 & 7 to 9 shall not cause any threat or any inducement to the prosecution witnesses directly or indirectly.
2. The accused No.1 to 5 & 7 to 9 shall not commit the similar offences.
3. The accused No.1 to 5 & 7 to 9 shall appear before the court on every hearing date.

Breach of any of these condition would entail the cancellation of the bail granted.

(Dictated to the Stenographer directly on the computer, corrected, initialed and then pronounced by me in open court on this 20<sup>th</sup> day of January- 2026)

(SUJATHA SUVARNA B.),  
Senior Civil Judge & JMFC.,  
Hiriyur.

Accused No.1 to 5 & 7 to 9 are present. Sri.Y.R.K advocate has filed vakalath for the accused persons along with bail application U/Sec. 480 of BNSS. For orders kept by.

**Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC.,  
Hiriyur**

[Orders pronounced in the open Court  
vide separate order]

**ORDER**

The bail application filed by the accused No.1 to 5 & 7 to 9 U/Sec.480 of BNSS Act-2023 is allowed. Thereby, they are ordered to be release on bail on execution of personal bond for Rs.50,000/- each with a surety for the likesum. The accused shall follow the following:

**CONDITIONS:**

1. The accused No.1 to 5 & 7 to 9 shall not cause any threat or any inducement to the prosecution witnesses directly or indirectly.
2. The accused No.1 to 5 & 7 to 9 shall not commit the similar offences.
3. The accused No.1 to 5 & 7 to 9 shall appear before the court on every hearing date.

Breach of any of these condition would entail the cancellation of the bail granted.

Surety by name Venkatesha S/o. Anjinappa, Aged about 35 years, R/o. Hosayalanadu village, Hiriya Taluk, Chitradurga District is present and filed his surety affidavit with declaration and documents such as RTC extract in Sy.No.202/2 property measuring 0.20 acre situated at Yelanadu village, J.G halli hobli, Hiriya Taluk and copy of Aadhaar Card offering himself as surety for accused No.1 to 5 & 7 to 9. Thereby surety is satisfied and surety ship is accepted.

Office to take bail bonds and surety bonds.

For HBC call on

Sr. Civil Judge & JMFC.,  
Hiriya.