

ARBTN 21/26
TATA CAPITAL LIMITED Vs. LALIT

28.04.2026

Present:- Sh. Tanishq Jain, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner alongwith Sh. Rawat Rohit, AR of the petitioner in person.
None for respondent.

Receiver report has been filed as per which, vehicle could not be repossessed.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the subject vehicle could not be repossessed and he has instructions from the petitioner to withdraw the present petition with liberty to avail remedy available to the petitioner in law.

Separate statement of AR of the petitioner has been recorded to this effect.

In view of the submissions made by Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and separate statement of AR of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed off as withdrawn as prayed.

File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

(Abhishek Srivastava)
District Judge-05,
Central, THC, Delhi
28.04.2026(sr)