

ARBTN 22/26
TATA CAPITAL LIMITED Vs. NITIN

17.02.2026

Present:- Sh. Sunil Sehrawat alongwith Sh. Tanish Jain, Ld. Counsels for the petitioner.

As per report of Ahlmad, notice of petition issued in terms of order dated 12.01.2026 to the respondent, and sent through ordinary process as well as RC have been received back as “unserved”.

An affidavit has been filed on behalf of the petitioner regarding service on mobile number and email address of the respondent.

It appears that this court has not directed the petitioner to serve the respondent through electronic modes.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that in any case the address of the respondent given in the memo of the parties is the only address with the petitioner (which was provided by the respondent), and as such, service should be deemed to have been served on the respondent.

Submissions are heard on an application of petitioner under Section 9 of Arbitration and conciliation Act, 1996 r/w Section 151 CPC for appointment of receiver.

Put up for orders at 4:00 p.m.

(Abhishek Srivastava)
District Judge-05,
Central, THC, Delhi
17.02.2026(sr)

At 4:00 PM

Present: None.

Since judgment has been pronounced in Artb. No. 207/2018 and order has been passed in CS DJ No. 302/2021, no time left.

Put up for orders on 26.02.2026.

(Abhishek Srivastava)
District Judge-05,
Central, THC, Delhi
17.02.2026(sr)