

**IN THE COURT OF UPINDER SINGH JANDU,
JUDGE, SPECIAL COURT, SANGRUR.
(UID Number PB00842)**

Case Type	Bail Application
Registration Number	2426 Date of Registration : 13.07.2026
CNR Number	PBSG01-006051-2026
Date of Decision	17.07.2026

Charno aged about 49 years wife of Mangal Singh, resident of Indra Basti, Sunam, Tehsil Sunam, District Sangrur.

...Applicant/accused

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Application under Section 483 of BNSS for grant of Regular Bail

FIR No. 97 Dated 13.06.2026.
Under Section 21, 29, 61, 85 of NDPS Act
Police Station City Sunam.

Present: Sh. Ajay Pal Singh, Advocate for applicant/ accused.
Sh. H.R.Goyal, Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

ORDER

1. Present regular bail application has been filed by the applicant/accused in a case FIR number 97 Dated 13.06.2026 Under Section 21, 29, 61, 85 of NDPS Act Police Station City Sunam.

2. In pursuance of notice of the application having been issued, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent-State has put in appearance. Record has been produced by ASI Avtar Singh number 2515/Sangrur.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicant/accused and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and have gone

(Upinder Singh Jandu)
Judge, Special Court,
Sangrur. (UID Number PB00842)

through the record.

Arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the applicant/accused:

4. The learned counsel for the applicant/accused has submitted that applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present case and is in custody since 13.06.2026. The alleged recovery has already been effected from co-accused which is marginally above the small quantity and falls in non commercial segment. No recovery is to be effected from her. Presentation of challan and conclusion of trial is likely to take sufficient time. Hence, the prayer for relief of regular bail has been made.

Arguments advanced by Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State:

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has opposed the bail application on the ground that as the allegations against the applicant are grave in nature, she does not deserve the leniency of the Court in grant of relief of bail. It has been prayed that bail application of the applicant/accused be dismissed.

Factual matrix of the Case:

6. The criminal law was set into motion on the allegations that on 13.06.2026, ASI Harbans Singh received a secret information that Shatti and Satnam Singh are habitual for selling heroin which was supplied by Mindi who was coming to supply heroin to Shatti and Satnam Singh at Jawandha Road, Sunam. If raid be conducted, they could be apprehended. Raid was conducted and all the accused were apprehended

(Upinder Singh Jandu)
Judge, Special Court,
Sangrur. (UID Number PB00842)

and 13 Gram heroin was recovered from them. During the interrogation of the co-accused, applicant/accused was nominated in the present case under section 29 NDPS ACT.

Findings:

7. After giving my thoughtful consideration to the rival submissions and on careful perusal of the averments put in the application, contents of the FIR, perusal of the record, coupled with the assistance rendered, it is pertinent to make mention that perusal of the file reveals that the applicant/accused is in custody since 13.06.2026 and is involved in this case with the aid of section 29 NDPS. The participation of the applicant/accused in the stated offence is to be proved during the pendency of the trial. The recovery has already been effected from co-accused which is marginally above the small quantity, thus falling in non-commercial segment. Rigours of section 37 NDPS Act is thus not attracted to the facts of the case. Presentation of challan will take time. No useful purpose would be served by detaining the accused/applicant in custody for an indefinite period, rather she will be a burden on the State Exchequer. In the gamut of the circumstances of the case, without further meticulously examining the evidence on record and without commenting upon the merits of the case, applicant/accused is subjected to bail on her furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the tune of Rs.1 Lac with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Illaqa/Duty Magistrate, subject to the following terms and conditions:

(Upinder Singh Jandu)
Judge, Special Court,
Sangrur. (UID Number PB00842)

- (i) Applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person(s) acquainted with the facts of the case, so as to dissuade him/them from disclosing the true facts to the court or to any police officer or in any other way tamper with the prosecution evidence;
- (ii) Applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court;
- (iii) Applicant shall remain present before the Trial Court on each and every date of hearing, unless granted exemption; and
- (iv) Applicant shall fully cooperate with the Trial Court for expeditious conclusion of the trial proceedings.

In compliance of directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court In Re policy Strategy for grant of bail 2023 (2) RCR (Criminal) 121 Supreme Court, soft copy of this bail order is ordered to be sent by email to prisoner/under trial through Jail Superintendent for compliance. Any observation made in this order shall have no effect on the merits of the case. Police record be returned. File be consigned to the record room.

Announced: 17.07.2026

(Upinder Singh Jandu)
Judge, Special Court,
Sangrur.
 (UID Number PB00842)

(Sapna)
 Stenographer Grade-I

(Upinder Singh Jandu)
 Judge, Special Court,
 Sangrur. (UID Number PB00842)