

Witness is duly sworn to.

Further chief examination : By Sri. BKP Advocate for petitioner.

Today, I have filed my affidavit in lieu of my examination in chief and the contents of the affidavit are true to the best of my knowledge and it bears my signature. I have issued Ex.P.12 disability certificate in favour of petitioner.

Cross examination : By Sri. SNP Advocate for respondent No.2.

2. About 30 years, I worked at Govt. Hospital. I have a private Clinic. I have treated petitioner at Basaveshwara Hospital. I have not treated petitioner immediately after the accident. He took treatment at Govt. Hospital, Challakere. I cannot say which Doctor has treated him at Challakere. Later, he took treatment at District Hospital, Chitradurga. I cannot say who has treated him at District Hospital, Chitradurga. He took follow up treatment at Basaveshwara Hospital. I cannot say on which day petitioner first time visited for follow up treatment. About, two years back I have examined petitioner. On 19.06.2024 I issued disability certificate.

3. I have verified discharge card of the petitioner, but not seen X Ray films. Petitioner has sustained simple fracture. For reunion of the fracture it requires 8 to 10 weeks. It is true to suggest that, petitioner fractured bone is united but there is a mall union. Petitioner was 17 years old at the time of treatment. When I examined petitioner his rod was removed. In general, development of bone in male it is up to 21 years and in female it is up to 18 years. The petitioner bone are still under developing state. Petitioner rod is removed at District Hospital, Chitradurga. I cannot say who has performed the Surgery. After 6 months from the removal of rod petitioner visited my hospital. At the time of discharge petitioner was advised to take rest for 6 weeks. Petitioner has

taken about 15 to 20 times follow up treatment. I have issued OPD slip and prescriptions. Petitioner has not produced the said documents before the court.

4. It is false to suggest that, if a person continuously under treatment cannot suffer from disability. It is false to suggest that, there is no mall union found in Ex.P.17 Sl.no.6. X- ray. It is false to suggest that, I have not given any follow up treatment to petitioner. I have assessed disability for the particular limb. For the whole body I have not assessed disability. On the basis manual issued by Central Govt. I have assessed disability. In the said guidelines there is no specific amount disability mentioned for fracture of Tibia and Fibula. It is false to suggest that, for the whole body the disability will be 1/3rd of the particular limb. It is false to suggest that, to help the petitioner I have issued false disability certificate and disposing falsely.

Re examination :- NIL.

(This evidence is recorded in the open court on my dictation)

R.O.I and A.C.

II Addl. S.C.J and MACT - V,
Chitradurga