

Evidence of PW- 2 for petitioner on oath

I solemnly affirm :

My name : Sachin Shrawanji Vaidya
Age : 36 years,
Occupation : Pvt. Job
R/o. : Kabir Nagar, Nagpur.

Examination-in-chief by Shri B.S. Mandhare, learned Advocate for the petitioner

I am working as a administrator with Choudhary Hospital, Nagpur Hospital received witness summons and I have been authorized to appear and depose before the court. Authority letter is signed by Sanjeev Choudhary, it is correct, marked as **Exh. 50**.

2] Patient namely Bhushan Kuhite, 31 years old male, was admitted in the hospital in between 14/1/24 and discharged on 30/5/24. Hospital charged Rs. 8,51,140/-. Now I am referred with bill dt. 30/5/24. It is signed by Dr. Choudhary. Amount of bill has been received by hospital, it is marked as **Exh. 51**.

3] Now I am referred with 11 bills issued by Department of Pathology attached to the hospital. These bills are signed by pathologist Etheshyam and Swati Borkar. Amounts mentioned in those bills received by Pathology department. These amounts is not included in final bill Exh. 51. Contents of pathology bills are correct, marked as **Exh. 52 collectively**.

4] Sanjivani Medicals, the pharmacy, attached to the hospital. I look after the management of the said pharmacy in respect of the patients, who are admitted in the hospital. Now I am referred with 3 bills issued by pharmacy. The amount mentioned therein has been received by pharmacy. Medicines were supplied to the patient Bhushan Kuhite, on the prescription of the doctor. Contents of the bills are correct, marked as **Exh. 53 collectively**. Those bills are not signed by any pharmacist, but these are the cash memo and amount has been received by pharmacy.

5] Same patient was admitted in hospital on 14/2/2025 and discharged on 26/2/2025. He was operated on 17/2/25 for removal of implants. Hospital charged Rs. 58,780/-. It is signed by Dr. Choudhary. Amount of bill has been received by hospital, it is marked as **Exh. 54**. Hospital received said amount.

Cross-examination for respondent Nos. 1 and 2:

6] Adjourned as per application Exh. 46.

Re-examination – Nil.

ROAC

Before me,

Nagpur.
Dt/- 06/11/2025.

Sd/-
(Smt. M.A.Baraliya)
Member,
Additional Temporary MACT, Nagpur.

On 29/01/2026:

Cross-examination of PW 2 resumed on S.A. by Shri Kshirsagar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 1:

7] I am still working with Choudhary Hospital. There I am working as administrative officer and billing account. Hospital issues receipts to the patients for paying hospitalization charges. Receipt has also been issued to the petitioner for making payment of hospital bill. It is correct that hospital does not issue receipt where payment is not made. Witness volunteers that hospital does not issue bill. Exh 51 is the bill issued by hospital. I do not know whether petitioner produced its receipt or not. However, hospital issued him receipt for making the payment. Today, I have not brought with me copies of the receipts. It is correct that there is no stamp 'paid' on Exhs. 51 and 54. None of the bills signed by me. Witness volunteers that it has been signed by Dr. Sanjeev Choudhary. None of the bills Exhs. 52 collectively and 53 collectively are signed by me. I myself prepared the hospital bills Exhs. 51 and 54. It is not correct to say that I do not know about the contents of these bills. Pathology Lab is attached to Choudhary Hospital. Pathology bills are prepared by pathology department. Pathologist namely Dr. Bharti Sarait, works there. Some of the bills Exh. 52 collectively are signed by Dr. Bharti and some by her assistant.

8] I have knowledge about medicines. It is not correct to say that false pathology bills and pharmacy bills are issued to the patient just to grab amount of compensation. It is not correct to say that all bills are false documents and prepared to assist the

petitioner to get compensation. It is not correct to say that no amount has been deposited by patient against any of those bills. It is correct to say that now the said pharmacy is not working in the campus. It is not correct to say that drug inspector carried out raid in the said pharmacy.

Cross-examination for respondent No. 2 :

9] As learned Advocate Shri Ladhe for respondent No. 2 is absent, matter is deferred for some time.

Nagpur. (Smt. M.A.Baraliya)
Dt/- 29/01/2026. Member,
Additional Temporary MACT, Nagpur.

10] Till 4:30 p.m. respondent No. 2 and learned Advocate appearing for him are absent, hence, no cross and witness is discharged.

Re-examination – Nil.

ROAC Before me,

Nagpur. (Smt. M.A.Baraliya)
Dt/- 29/01/2026. Member,
Additional Temporary MACT, Nagpur.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this evidence are word to word as per original evidence uploaded in the CIS System by way of PDF File.

Name of Stenographer : A.W. Sangle