

MHRG190000412023



Spl.Civ.Suit No.23/2023

Shyam More & Anr. Vs. Prakash
More & Ors.

ORDER BELOW EXH.169.

(Passed on 03.08.2026)

Perused the application and say. Plaintiff Shyam More present. The learned advocate for plaintiffs is absent. Witness–Shrikant Dange, Shirastedar, Deputy Superintendent, Land record, Office, Mahad is also present along with documents. This application is filed for adjournment. The witness was also present on last two dates. The re-examination of this witness is adjourned on 30.07.2025. Since then matter is pending for recording further re-examination of this witness. It appears that the plaintiffs are not interest to examine the witness further. The advocate engaged in another court is not good, proper and justifiable ground to adjourn the matter. Hence, I find no merit in the reason mentioned in the application. Moreover, the matter is more than nine years old. Hence, request for adjournment is rejected. The witness–Shrikant Dange is discharged. Matter be kept on next stage i.e. further evidence of the plaintiffs.

Date :- 03.08.2026

(Bhagawat T. Zirape)
Civil Judge Senior Division.
Mahad.

