

KACD010018842026



Presented on : 20-05-2026  
Registered on : 20-05-2026  
Decided on : 01-06-2026  
Duration : 11 days

**IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL, 2<sup>ND</sup> ADDL. DISTRICT AND  
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHITRADURGA.**

**PRESENT:-** SRI. Gangadhar Channabasappa Hadapad  
B.Com., LLB(Spl)  
Spl, 2<sup>nd</sup> Addl. Dist & Sessions Judge  
Chitradurga

**DATED THIS 1<sup>st</sup> DAY OF JUNE 2026**

**Criminal Miscellaneous. No.532/2026**

**PETITIONER /S :**

1. Thimmareddy s/o Hanumanthareddy,  
aged about 65 years,
2. Gowramma w/o Thimmareddy, aged  
about 54 years,  
Both are r/o Thammenahalli village,  
Molakalmuru taluk, Chitradurga.
3. Bheemareddy s/o M. Thimmareddy, aged  
about 45 years, KEB Lineman, Ningenahalli  
village, Sandur taluk, Ballari.

(By Sri. Nagaraja N., Advocate.)

Vs-

**RESPONDENT/S** :

State by Rampura police,

(By Spl. Public Prosecutor, Chitradurga)

**ORDER ON BAIL PETITION UNDER SECTION 482  
OF BNSS 2023**

This petition is filed by the petitioners/accused under Section 482 of BNSS for seeking to enlarge them on anticipatory bail in Rampura P.S Cr.No.63/2026 for the offences punishable under Section 85, 352, 324(4) read with Section 3(5) of BNS and Section 3 and 4 of DP Act for the reasons stated therein.

2. The learned Public Prosecutor has filed objections and prays to dismiss the petition for the reasons stated therein.

3. Heard the arguments of learned counsel for petitioners and learned Spl. Public Prosecutor. Perused the records.

4. The following points would arise for my consideration:

1. Whether the petitioners/accused Nos.3 to 8 have made out reasonable grounds for grant of bail as sought for?

2. What order?

5. My finding on the above points are as under:

Point No.1 : In the Affirmative

Point No.2 : As per final order for  
the following:

### **R E A S O N S**

6. **POINT No.1:-** Complaint and FIR discloses that complainant is a resident of Gollahally Village, Gummaghatta, Mandala, Rayadurga Taluk, Her marriage was performed with accused No.1 on 10.04.2025 in Thammenahalli Village in Rayadurga Town and her parents performed the marriage and at the time of the marriage her parents gave 10 grams gold to the accused No.1 and marriage expenses were given by her parents. After the marriage, she left her software engineer job and they started to reside with the accused. Accused used to demand her to bring more dowry from her parents. Then her husband went to Saudi Arabia for work. Then she has also went to Saudi Arabia to live with her husband. Accused No.1 started to give harassment to her. Then she returned to her parental house. Then in the month of November 2025, her Father-in-law and mother-in-law accused Nos.2 and 3 took her to their house and started to give physical and mental harassment to her. On 15.03.2026 she was residing in Thammenahalli Village along with the accused. At that time accused used to

give physical and mental harassment to her. On 17.03.2026 accused Nos.2 to 4 threw laptop, mobile and other articles belongs to her outside the house and abused her in filthy languages. Then she returned to her parental house. On 20.03.2026 her husband, accused No.1 called her and told her that before he reach to the house she has to die otherwise he will outraged her modesty. Thereby, accused used to give physical and mental harassment to the complainant. On 06.05.2026, complainant gave complaint against the accused. Police registered case against the accused for the above said offences.

7. The advocate for the petitioner has argued that petitioner No.1 is the father-in-law and petitioner No.2 is the mother-in-law and petitioner No.3 is the brother of petitioner No.2. He has argued that there are no prima facie material against the accused for committing the alleged offences. Petitioners have not committed any offences as alleged by the complainant. They are ready and willing to abide by the conditions imposed by this court and ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court. Hence prays to release them on bail.

8. The learned Public Prosecutor has filed objection and contented that there are prima facie material

against the accused for committing the alleged offences. If accused are released on bail, they may tamper the prosecution witnesses and may abscond from the jurisdiction of the court. Hence, prays to reject the bail application.

9. Offence under Section 85 of BNS and Section 3 and 4 of DP Act are non-bailable but not-punishable with death or imprisonment for life. Objection filed by the learned Public Prosecutor discloses that police has visited the spot and conducted the mahazhar. Custodial interrogation of the accused is not required. Whether accused have committed the alleged offences or not requires a detailed investigation and trial. The presence of the accused at the time of the trial can be secured by imposing stringent conditions. Petitioners have furnished the copy of Aadhar card to show the residential address and it is not disputed by the prosecution. The registration of the complaint shows the apprehension of the petitioners that they may be arrested by the respondent police for the above-said offences. Hence, this court is of the view that petitioners have made out sufficient ground to release them on anticipatory bail. Hence, I answer Point No.1 in the affirmative.

**Point No.2 :**

10. For the forgoing reasons and discussions, I proceed to pass the following:

**O R D E R**

Petition filed by the accused/petitioners under Section 482 of BNSS 2023 is hereby allowed.

The respondent Police are directed to release the petitioners in the event of their arrest in Cr.No.63/2026 of Rampura Police registered for the offences punishable under Section 85, 352, 324(4) read with Section 3(5) of BNS and Section 3 and 4 of DP Act, on executing personal bond for Rs.50,000/- each with one surety for likesum subject to following conditions;

(1) The petitioners shall mark their attendance before the concerned IO on every alternative Sunday between 9.00 am to 5.00 pm for 60 days or till filing of the final report whichever is earlier.

(2) The petitioners shall not tamper the prosecution witnesses and shall not make any efforts to destroy the evidence to be collected by the prosecution.

(3) The petitioners and their sureties shall furnish their sufficient address proof before the I.O/concerned court.

(4) The petitioners shall surrender before the respondent police within 30 days.

(5) In case of violation of bail conditions, the respondent/police can seek cancellation of bail.

(Dictated to the Adalath ai, corrected, signed and then pronounced by me in the open court on 1<sup>st</sup> day of June 2026)

**(Gangadhar Channabasappa Hadapad)**

Spl. 2<sup>nd</sup> Addl. Dist & Sessions Judge  
Chitradurga.