

Crl. Revision Petition No. 02/2026
CNR No. DLCT11-000041-2026
KARNAIL SINGH
vs.
SATYENDER JAIN

30.04.2026

(HYBRID HEARING)

Present: Sh. Vinod Dahiya and Ms. Anupriya Poddar (through VC),
Ld. Counsels for the revisionist/Karnail Singh.
Sh. Rajat Bhardwaj (through VC) and Sh. Dushyant
Choudhary (through VC), Ld. Counsel for the respondent
Satyender Kumar Jain.

It has been brought to the notice of this Court that, while uploading the order sheet dated 20.04.2026, the stenographer inadvertently uploaded certain tentative draft facts and submissions pertaining to the respondent. On perusal of the same, this Court finds that the said material, comprising seven pages, does not cause any prejudice or bias either in favour of or against the contesting parties. The stenographer is cautioned to be careful in future while uploading such material.

In order to ensure fairness, both parties were asked whether the inadvertent upload had created any apprehension of bias. Ld. Counsel for the parties submitted that no such apprehension arises, as the material is innocuous, and requested that the matter may proceed.

Accordingly, the matter is fixed for orders at 2:00 PM.

(Jitendra Singh)
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-23
(MPs/MLAs Cases)
Rouse Avenue Court Complex,
New Delhi; 30.04.2026

At 2:00 PM.

Present: As above.

Vide a separate order, dictated and announced in the open Court today, wherein **the impugned order is set aside**. The matter is remanded to the Ld. Trial Court to consider the applicability of the exceptions to the alleged statements, in the light of the observations made herein, and to pass a fresh order in accordance with law.

A copy of the detailed order, along with the Trial Court Record, be transmitted to the Ld. Trial Court forthwith.

Let a copy of the said order be given *dasti* to both the parties.

Revision File be consigned to the Record Room.

(Jitendra Singh)
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-23
(MPs/MLAs Cases)
Rouse Avenue Court Complex,
New Delhi; 30.04.2026