

CS No. 56938-16
Resident of Village Nangli VS. Union of India
18.01.2017

Present: Sh. Adnam Siddiqui, Counsel alongwith plaintiffs i.e. residents of Village Nangli Manchi.
Sh. P.K. Aggarwal, Counsel for DDA.
Sh. Om Parkash, Counsel for Railways.
Ms. Mrinalini Sen Gupta, appearing for Forest Department/NCT of Delhi.

BTF filed through Forest Department. Same is taken on record. Reply to the application U/O. 9 rule 13 CPC moved by the Railways is not filed by the plaintiff. Reply to the application of plaintiff U/S./ 151 CPC also not filed by the defendants. As submitted by the Counsel for the DDA that he is not willing to file the reply and is ready to straightway argue the application. Accordingly, right of the DDA for filing the reply stands closed.

Forest department is not a party in the suit. Counsel for the plaintiff requested some time for filing the application for impleadment of the Forest Department, as they are stated to be in possession of the suit land. Counsel for the Railway also requested some time for filing the reply to the application U/S. 151 CPC. Heard. No ground for adjournment is made out. However, 10 days time is given to the Railway for filing the reply, subject to cost of Rs.5,000/-.

Be put up 01.03.2017 for reply and arguments.

(MUKESH KUMAR)
ADJ-02 & Waqf Tribunal / NDD
PHC / ND/18.01.2017