



Received on : 02/06/2015.
Registered on : 02/06/2015.
Decided on : 05/01/2024.
Duration : 08 Y. 07 M. 03 D.

IN THE COURT OF THE SESSIONS, DHULE, AT DHULE
(Presided over by Yasmin G. Deshmukh, Special Judge, Dhule)
(CNR-MH-DH01-001392-2015)

Special Case No.18/2015.

Exh.No.

(Crime No.3001/2015 of West Deopur Police station, Dhule)		
Complainant	:	Sakharam Gangaram Patil.
Represented by	:	Shri. G. Y. Patil, Special PP
Accused	:	Laxmikant Traymbak Chavhan, Age:- 47 years, Occu.:- Service, R/o. 16, Indira Colony, Gondur road, Deopur, Dhule.
Represented by	:	Advocate Shri. N. P. Mehta.

Date of offence	:	21-01-2015.
Date of F.I.R.	:	21-01-2015.
Date of Charge-sheet	:	01-06-2015.
Date of framing charge	:	25-09-2017.
Date of commencement of evidence	:	01-11-2023.
Date on which judgment is reserved	:	05-01-2024.
Date of Judgment	:	05-01-2024.
Date of sentencing order if any	:	----

Accused Details :

Rank of the accused	Name of the accused	Date of arrest	Date of release on bail	Offence charged with	Whether acquitted or convicted	Sentence imposed	Period of detention undergone during the trial for the purpose of section 428 of Cr.PC.
1)	Laxmikant Traymbak Chavhan.	21-01-2015	23-01-2015	Sec. 7, 13(1)(d) r/w. 13(2) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.	Acquitted	---	---

LIST OF PROSECUTION/DEFENCE/COURT WITNESS**A – Prosecution.**

Rank	Name	Nature of Evidence
P.W.1	Sakharam Gangaram Patil	Informant.
P.W.2	Murhari Sopanrao Kele	Sanctioning authority.
P.W.3	Anil Ramdas Jone	Panch witness.
P.W.4	Vijay Chintaman Chaure	Investigating officer.

B – Defence Witness if any – Nil.**C – Court Witness if any – Nil.****LIST OF PROSECUTION/DEFENCE/COURT EXHIBITS****A – Prosecution.**

<u>Sr. No.</u>	<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
1)	P-27/PW1	Thumb impression of PW1 on F.I.R.
2)	P-28/PW1	Thumb impression of PW1 on written intimation.
3)	P-31/PW2	Proposal.
4)	P-32/PW2	Letter from Regional Executive Director-2, Pune.
5)	P-33/PW2	Letter.
6)	P-34/PW2	Sanction order.

7)	P-36/PW3	Written order.
8)	P-37/PW3	Complaint.
9)	P-38/PW3	Written intimation.
10)	P-39/PW3	Verification panchanama.
11)	P-40/PW3	Pre-trap panchanama.
12)	P-41/PW3	Record of 12 pages.
13)	P-42/PW3	Attendance register.
14)	P-43/PW3	Hash value certificate.
15)	P-44/PW3	Hash value certificate.
16)	P-45/PW3	Trap panchanama.
17)	P-48/PW3	Voice sample panchanama.
18)	P-51/PW4	Letter to Collector office, Dhule.
19)	P-52/PW4	Written intimation.
20)	P-53/PW4	F.I.R.
21)	P-54/PW4 to P-101/PW4	Portion marked "A" to "A22" from the statement of complainant.

B - Defence –

<u>Sr. No.</u>	<u>Exhibits No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
1)	D-46	Arrest panchanama.
2)	D-47	Panchanama of sealing Anthracene powder.

C – Court Exhibits

<u>Sr. No.</u>	<u>Court Exhibits</u>	<u>Description</u>
1)	05	Charge.
2)	102	Evidence Close pursis.
3)	103	Statement of accused u/sec.313 of Cr.P.C.

D – Material Objects :

<u>Sr. No.</u>	<u>M.O.No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
1)	Article-A	Ten currency notes of Rs.100/-.
2)	Articles-B	Pant of accused.
3)	Article-C to E	Memory cards.
4)	Article-D	Memory card.

J U D G M E N T

(Delivered on: 05-01-2024)

The accused is facing trial for the offence punishable under Section Sec. 7, 13(1)(d) r/w. 13(2) of Prevention of Corruption Act,1988 (In short POCSO).

2] Facts of the case as born out from the record are that the informant (PW4) lodged FIR on 21/01/2015 in West Deopur Police Station, Dhule that on 20-01-2015 he was attached to Anti Corruption Bureau, Dhule as Police Inspector. On that day informant Sakharam Gangaram Patil came to their office with his nephew and made complaint that accused is demanding bribe of Rs.2,000/- for providing new D.P. Thereafter, his nephew Hilal gave said complaint in his handwriting to their office. Thereafter he sent letter to Collector Office, Dhule for providing two government employees to act as Panchas. Accordingly two panchas were provided. Complainant orally narrated his complaint in presence of panchas. Thereafter complainant was given to the panchas for reading. Both the panchas signed over the complaint as its contents were true. Thereafter it was decided to verify the complaint.

3] Thereafter it was decided to send Panch No.1 along with

complainant. Accordingly he gave instructions to Panch No.1, Panch No.2 and complainant. For verification of the same it was decided to give one digital voice recorder with complainant for recording conversation between complainant and accused. Police Naik Kiran Sali produced a digital voice recorder and new memory card kept in a cupboard which was sealed. Thereafter it was verified to complainant, Panch No.1 and Panch No.2 that there is no previous conversation is recorded in the said memory card. Thereafter, said memory card was inserted in the digital voice recorder and conversation between complainant and both panchas was recorded. Thereafter, Panchas, complainant staff of trap squad along with articles of trap reached near the office of accused. Thereafter Shri. Sali turned on the digital voice recorder and attached the same inside the shirt of complainant such that it could not be seen. Thereafter, Panch No.1 and complainant went to meet the accused in his office near Indira Garden, but accused was not present in the office, therefore, they came back to the spot where staff of trap squad were waiting. Thereafter Shri. Sali took out the digital voice recorder attached inside the shirt of complainant and turned it off and took in his custody. Thereafter, informant asked Panch No.1 and complainant about what happened during leaving them till coming back to them. Panch No.1 and complainant narrated the incident as happened. As the working hours were over, it was decided to do next procedure on 21-01-2015. Accordingly informant intimated in writing to both the panchas and complainant to remain present on 21-01-2015 at about 09:30 a.m. at A.C.B. office, Dhule. Accordingly verification panchanama of said procedure was prepared in presence of both panchas.

4] Thereafter on 21-01-2015 at about 09:30 a.m. both panchas, complainant and his nephew came to the office of A.C.B. Dhule. Thereafter, informant gave instruction to both panchas and complainant, prior to the

verification. Thereafter, P.N. Shri. Vende produced the digital voice recorder and memory card used in the procedure of 20-01-2015. Thereafter, Panch No.1, complainant, staff of trap squad along with articles of trap reached near the office of accused. Thereafter Shri. Sali turned on the digital voice recorder and attached the same inside the shirt of complainant such that it could not be seen. Thereafter, panch No.1, complainant and his nephew went to meet the accused in his office and they came back to the spot where they were waiting. Thereafter informant inquired with Panch No.1 about the incident which happened from leaving us and coming back to them. Panch No.1 told that they met with the accused in his office. They started discussion with accused about his work and told him to install D.P. At that time accused demanded bribe of Rs.1,500/- from complainant and therefore, they came back. Same incident was narrated by the complainant. Prior to that, Police Naik Shri. Vende took out the digital voice recorder attached inside the shirt of complainant and turned it off and took in his custody. Thereafter they all came back to A.C.B. office as that place was not proper. After coming back, the conversation between complainant and accused recorded in digital voice recorder was heard in presence of informant and both panchas, complainant. Accordingly it was proved that accused demanded bribe from the complainant and asked him to bring said amount immediately. Accordingly it was decided that, script of said conversation will be prepared afterwards. Thereafter digital voice recorder and memory card used for verification was kept in a packet and it was sealed and signatures of both panchas were obtained on it. Thereafter, it was decided that trap should be done on the accused.

5] Thereafter, on the instruction of informant, complainant produced Rs.1,500/- for giving bribe to the accused. It was having one currency note of Rs.500/- and ten currency notes of Rs.100/-. Xerox copies

of said notes were taken for investigation. Thereafter serial numbers of said currency notes were noted down on a blank paper. Thereafter panch No.2 took physical examination of complainant. Thereafter characteristics of anthracene powder and ultraviolet lamp was given to both Panchas and complainant. Prakash Sonar produced one lamp like battery and one sealed packet. Thereafter its demonstration was given on simple page. No glittering was seen in the natural light over it and white glittering was seen in the artificial darkness over it in the light of ultraviolet light. Thereafter anthracene powder was applied diagonally over the currency notes produced by complainant. No glittering was seen in the natural light over the currency notes and white glittering was seen in the artificial darkness over the currency notes in the light of ultraviolet light. Thereafter said currency notes were folded once and were kept in the left pocket of complainant's shirt by Shri. Sonar. Artificial darkness was made by closing all the windows and doors and glittering was seen over the edges of pocket of complainant's shirt in the light of ultraviolet lamp. Thereafter informant gave instructions to both panchas and complainant. Shri. Devendra Vende produced new digital voice recorder and new memory card and it was verified that there is no previous conversation and it is blank. Thereafter informant prepared verification and pre-trap panchanama in presence of both panchas.

6] Thereafter both panchas, complainant, his nephew and staff of trap squad went near the office of accused. Shri. Vende turned on the digital voice recorder and attached the same inside complainant's shirt with help of pin along with cloth bag. Thereafter, panch No.1, complainant and his nephew went to the office of accused by walking. At that time, Panch No.2 and staff of trap squad came behind and kept watch on them around the office of M.S.E.B., Deopur Dhule. After receiving confirmation, Panch

No.2 and the staff of trap squad became active and came to the office of the M.S.E.D.C.L., Deopur Dhule. During said period Police Naik Pravin Amritkar and Kailas Shirash obstructed the accused as shown by informant and complainant by holding his right and left hand on his wrist. Shri. Chaure introduced himself to the accused and showed his identity card. Thereafter on inquiry accused told his name and village. Thereafter informant asked the Panch No.1 who accepted the currency notes of bribe from complainant and where were those kept. Panch No.1 pointed towards accused and told that accused accepted Rs.1,500/- and returned a currency note of Rs.500/- to complainant and he kept Rs.1000/- in right backside pocket of his pant. Thereafter complainant and his nephew were told to stay outside. Thereafter informant told Panch No.2 to take out the money from backside pocket of accused. Thereafter panch No.2 counted those currency notes and those were total Rs.1000/- i.e. ten currency notes of Rs.100/-. Thereafter serial numbers of currency notes of pre-trap and the currency notes seized from the accused were tallied and those were found similar. Thereafter artificial darkness was made there and ultraviolet light was thrown on palms of both hands of accused and right backside pocket of his pant and glittering was seen diagonally on the currency notes and on the edges of backside pocket of pant of accused. Thereafter said currency notes were seized in the offence and were kept in brown packet, serial numbers of those notes were mentioned on the said packet and it was sealed and signatures of both panchas were obtained on it and informant signed the same. Thereafter pant of accused was seized in presence of both panchas as there was glittering of anthracene powder on it. It was sealed and labeled.

7] Thereafter, I seized record containing 12 pages in respect of work of complainant in presence of both panchas. Thereafter on that day

informant seized three pages of attendance register showing that accused was present in the office. Thereafter complainant again called the complainant and his nephew in the office and physical examination of complainant was conducted and a currency note of Rs.500/- was found in his pocket. Thereafter, again artificial darkness was made in the office. Thereafter the currency note of Rs.500/- found from the complaint was thrown light of ultraviolet light and glittering was found on it and glittering was seen on right hand of complainant and edges of left side pocket complainant's shirt when ultraviolet light was thrown on it. Thereafter, serial number of currency note of Rs.500/- from complainant and the serial number of Rs.500/- note of pre-trap procedure was found similar, when checked. Thereafter, both panch, complainant, his nephew, accused and staff of trap squad came back to A.C.B. office as said place was not proper. Thereafter informant asked Panch No.1 in presence of Panch No.2 about the incident after leaving the place near office of accused till the gesture by complainant of accepting bribe by accused. At that time he told that, Panch No.1, complainant and his nephew entered the office of M.S.E.B. and went to the office of accused on the first floor and after seeing the accused present in his office, entered in his office. Thereafter complainant gave Rs.1500/- to the accused and accused returned Rs.500/- to the complainant and kept Rs.1000/- in the back pocket of his pant. Thereafter, complainant made pre-planned gesture towards panch No.2 and staff of trap squad about acceptance of bribe. Accordingly similar incident was stated by complainant. Thereafter P.C. Shri. Devndra Vende made script of conversation between accused and complainant recorded in digital voice recorder taken in custody in pre-trap procedure, after hearing it. Thereafter, said memory card was sealed in presence of both panchas and their signatures were obtained on it. Before sealing said memory card, hash value certificate of conversation between complainant and accused was

prepared and its print was taken.

8] Thereafter again P.C. Shri. Devndra Vende made script of conversation between accused and complainant recorded in digital voice recorder taken in custody in trap procedure, after hearing it. Thereafter, said memory card was sealed in presence of both panchas and their signatures were obtained on it. Before sealing said memory card, hash value certificate of conversation between complainant and accused was prepared and its print was taken. Thereafter panchanama of trap was prepared in presence of both panchas. Thereafter informant lodged F.I.R. on behalf of State. Thereafter he arrested the and arrest panchanama was prepared. Thereafter, panchanma about seal of anthracene powder bottle was prepared.

9] Thereafter on 22-01-2015 panchanama of sample voice of accused, complainant, Panch No.1 and Panch No.2 was prepared. At the time of said panchanama a new memory card and digital voice recorder was produced. It was verified that there is no previous conversation. Thereafter informant gave contents of verification and successful trap panchanama for reading and took his voice sample. Thereafter said memory card was sealed in presence of both panchas and it was labeled by their signature. Thereafter panchanama of said voice sample was prepared in presence of both panchas, complainant and accused.

10] Thereafter informant sent proposal and all the documents of investigation to Chief General Manager, Technical Administration Section, M.S.E.D.C.L. Mumbai through Superintendent of Police, A.C.B. Nashik for getting sanction for getting sanction to file charge-sheet against the accused. Accordingly on 08-05-2015 he received sanction from the superior

office of accused to file charge-sheet against the accused. Thereafter informant filed charge-sheet against the accused in the Court as there was sufficient evidence against him. Therefore offence under section 7, 13(1) (5) r/w. 13(2) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 was registered against the accused vide C.R.No. 3001/2015 in West Deopur Police station, Dhule.

11] Charge was framed against the accused vide Exh.05, contents of the same were read over and explained to accused in vernacular language, to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried.

12] In support of the prosecution case, prosecution has examined four witnesses and closed its evidence. Defence has not examined any witnesses.

13] Statement of the accused under Section 313 of Cr.P.C. is recorded at Exh.103. The defence of accused is of total denial.

14] The following points arise for my determination to which I have given my findings for the reasons to follow.

<u>POINTS</u>	<u>FINDINGS</u>
1) Does prosecution prove that, on 21-01-2012 at about 13:01 hrs. at the office of Deputy Executive Engineer, MSEDCL, Dhule Rural, accused being a public servant i.e. Deputy Executive Engineer, Class-II, demanded Rs. 1,500/- but accepted Rs.1,000/- from the complainant Sakharam Gangaram Patil for sanctioning a new electricity D.P in his field, in presence of panch witnesses for himself and a gratification other than legal remuneration as a reward ?	No.
2) Does prosecution prove that, on the aforesaid date,	No.

POINTS**FINDINGS**

time and place, accused obtained pecuniary advantage of sum of Rs.1,000/- for himself by corrupt or illegal means or by otherwise abusing his position as such public servant as Deputy Executive Engineer, Class-II ?

3) What order ?

As per final order.

REASONS**Point Nos. 1 and 2 :**

15] Heard Ld. A.P.P for the prosecution. According to him, in view of the evidence of PW Nos. 1 to 4, accused be convicted as per law.

16] Whereas Ld. defence counsel argued that in the present matter, there is absence of clear & cogent/convincing evidence regarding the alleged demand & acceptance of the bribe. He further argued that the complainant himself turned hostile on material points & there are various contradictions/omissions in the evidence of PW Nos. 1 to 4. According to him, even said sanction is not legal. On all such grounds he prayed to acquit the accused.

17] Ld. Defence counsel also tendered written notes of arguments at Exh. 104.

18] In view of above allegations, let us consider & scrutinize testimonies of the prosecution witnesses. PW 1 i.e. the complainant deposed that he has agricultural land having well in the Shivar of village Ajang and Kalkhede. At the time of incident said land was in the name of his elder brother Ambar Gangaram Patil. There was a electric motor in the said well. Its connection was taken from D.P. At the time of said incident

said D.P. was burnt and therefore motor could not be run. Complainant and his nephew Hillal Ambar Patil went to the office of M.S.E.B. at Dhule. They went there for installation of new D.P. and met the accused there. Complainant told him to give new D.P. Complainant told the accused that he would give him money but give D.P. He did not demand anything from him. PW.1 stated that it did not happen that, accused demanded Rs.2,000/- from him for giving D.P. and PW.1 lodged complaint against the accused at Anti Corruption Bureau office as he was not willing to give money.

19] Thus, in the examination-in-chief complainant has avoided to make incriminating statements against the accused. He is silent whether accused was related to said office and was competent to sanction new D.P. to him. The Ld. PP with permission of the court read over portion marked "A" and "B" from complaint Exh.P-37/PW3 to him, but he stated that he did not tell the same to police. So also the complainant has also denied that portion marked "C" to "A22" are stated by him to police and he cannot assign why it is so mentioned in his statement. He denied that he along his nephew and panch No.1 entered the office of accused and asked him whether his work was done, to which he replied in affirmative and asked about money. PW1 has also denied that he took out Rs.1500/- from left pocket of his shirt by right hand and held said money in front of accused. He also denied that, accused accepted the money by right hand and counted it by both hands and returned one currency note of Rs.500/- to him. It is also denied by PW.1 that thereafter accused kept Rs.1000/- in the backside right pocket of his pant. So far as, voice is concern PW.1 i.e star witness deposed that he cannot tell whether said voice was verified at the time of recording. So far as portion marked A and B of his report is concerned he replied that the same are not correct.

20] P.W.1 admitted that his nephew Hilal took him to A.C.B. office, F.I.R. dated 20-01-2015 is in the handwriting of Hilal, he only put his thumb impression over it. He admitted that, thereafter he never visited the office of A.C.B. and he had no conversation with accused regarding money.

21] P.W.2 is sanctioning authority. He has deposed according to the procedure of giving sanction.

22] P.W.3 i.e. Shadow Panch deposed that after verification panch has signed complaint Exh.P-37/PW3. Voice recorder was attached to the inside the shirt of complainant. Thereafter, he, complainant and his nephew went to meet the accused in his office. Thereafter complainant made gesture to him that accused is present in his office. Thereafter complainant and his nephew and P.W.3 went to the accused and complainant started discussion with accused about his work and told him to install D.P. At that time accused demanded bribe of Rs.1,500/- from complainant. He further deposed that, thereafter, on the instruction of Shri. Chaure, complainant produced Rs.1,500/- for giving bribe to the accused. It was having one currency note of Rs.500/- and ten currency notes of Rs.100/-. Xerox copies of said notes were taken for investigation. Thereafter anthracene powder was applied diagonally over the currency notes produced by complainant.

23] It is further deposed by P.W.3 that, thereafter P.W.3, complainant, his nephew and staff of trap squad went near the office of accused. Shri. Vende turned on the digital voice recorder and attached the same inside complainant's shirt with help of pin along with cloth bag. Thereafter, P.W.3, complainant and his nephew went to the office of accused by walking. They entered the office of M.S.E.B. and went to the office of accused on the first floor and after seeing the accused present in his office,

entered in his office. When complainant and PW.3 went to the Shri. Chavhan, he asked that whether money is brought or not. Thereafter complainant gave Rs. 1500/- to the accused and accused returned Rs.500/- to the complainant and kept Rs.1000/- in the back pocket of his pant. Thereafter, complainant made pre-planned gesture towards panch No.2 and staff of trap squad about acceptance of bribe. PW.3 and nephew of complainant were sitting in front of the accused and keeping watch on him. After receiving confirmation, Panch No.2 and the staff of trap squad became activated and came to the office of the M.S.E.D.C.L., Deopur Dhule. PC. Shri. Devendra Vende removed the digital voice recorded attached in a cloth bag inside my shirt and turned it off. During said period Police Naik Pravin Amritkar and Kailas Shirash obstructed the accused as shown by myself and complainant by holding his right and left hand on his wrist. Shri. Chaure introduced himself to the accused and showed his identity card. Thereafter on inquiry accused told his name and village. Thereafter Shri. Chaure asked me who accepted the currency notes of bribe from complainant and where were those kept. PW.3 pointed towards accused and told that accused accepted Rs.1,500/- and returned a currency note of Rs.500/- to complainant and he kept Rs.1000/- in right backside pocket of his pant. Thereafter complainant and his nephew were told to stay outside. Thereafter Shri. Chaure told Panch No.2 to take out the money from backside pocket of accused. Thereafter panch No.2 counted those currency notes and those were total Rs.1000/- i.e. ten currency notes of Rs.100/-.

24] PW.3 admitted in his cross-examination that, when he went to A.C.B. office, at that time complainant's nephew Hilal Ambar Patil was present there. He has also admitted that when they went to meet the accused, he was standing near the door and he was unable to hear the conversation between accused, complainant and accused. He has admitted

that, they were told to remain outside when staff of A.C.B. went in the office of accused. He is unable to state from where the documents Exh.P-41/PW3 were seized.

25] Except the evidence of PW4 Shri. Chaure, there is no evidence of any other witness on the point of demand of illegal gratification. It is pertinent to note that PW1 Sakharam Patil has repeatedly denied that accused demanded bribe amount of Rs.1000/- from him for installation of new D.P. The complainant has denied said fact in his examination in chief, in cross-examination conducted by Spl. PP and again in cross-examination conducted by the defence. When de facto complainant (PW1) has failed to support the prosecution case, in my view, benefit of doubt will have to be given to accused because on the sole uncorroborated testimony of investigating officer, it cannot be held that the demand has been established by the prosecution.

26] There is defence raised by the accused person that he was not concerned with the work of complainant. Investigation officer P.W.4 has admitted that, the work of complainant was to increase the capacity of D.P. from 63 K.V. to 100 K.V. He has further admitted that, he did not take information from the office of accused whether complainant was having work with accused or not.

27] Thus on scrutiny of the entire prosecution evidence, it is crystallized that there is no cogent evidence to hold that accused No.1 made demand of bribe from complainant. On evaluation of testimony of complainant, it can be easily inferred that he has absolutely failed to support the prosecution case. During cross-examination he has taken absolute contrary stand to that of complaint lodged by him with A.C.B. office

and refused to accept that accused demanded and accepted bribe amount of Rs.1,000/- from him.

28] On overall analyses of the evidence on record, when the case of prosecution is not getting support from the devidence of complainant Sakharam Patil (PW1), only on the basis of evidence of Shri. Jone(PW3) who is a shadow panch and when his credibility is doubtful, the accused cannot be convicted for the charges levelled against them. Thus, the prosecution has absolutely failed to establish the demand of bribe from the complainant and its acceptance by accused. Hence, I answer point Nos.1 and 2 in the negative.

Point No. 3 :

29] The evidence adduced by prosecution casts shadow of doubt on the genuineness of prosecution case, therefore I have left with no other option but to exonerate the accused by extending the benefit of doubt in his favour.

30] The amount seized in present matter was given by the complainant, but as per procedure he might have received equivalent amount from the Government through A.C.B. office and therefore the amount seized in this case deserves to be confiscated to the Government. In view of above discussion, in the result, in answer to point No.3 I pass following order;

ORDER

- 1) The accused Laxmikant Tryambak Chavhan, is acquitted vide Section 235(1) of the Code of Criminal Procedure of the offences punishable under Section 7, 13(1)(d) r/w. 13(2) of

Prevention of Corruption Act,1988.

- 2) His bail bonds stand cancelled.
- 3) He shall furnish P.R. bond of Rs.15,000/- and one surety of like amount for his appearance before the Appellate Court in case of filling of appeal.
- 4) An amount of Rs.1000/- comprising of ten currency notes of Rs.100/- denomination be credited to the Government, after the appeal period is over.
- 5) Seized memory cards be given in the custody of A.C.B., Dhule for disposal in accordance to law after the period of appeal is over.
- 6) Rest of the muddemal being worthless be destroyed after the period of appeal is over.

(Dictated and pronounced in open Court in presence of accused)

Place: Dhule.
Date : 05-01-2024.

(Yasmin G. Deshmukh)
Special Judge[POCSO],
Addl. Sessions Judge, Dhule.

Certificate

I certify that the contents of this PDF file are word to word as per Original Judgment/order.

Name of the Steno : T.R.Pankhedkar.
Name of the Court : Court of District Judge-2 & Addl. Sessions Judge, Dhule.
PDF Uploading Date : 10-01-2024.

Sd/-...
Stenographer(Gr-I).