

E-file

Order Passed Below Exh.5 in R.C.S. No.635/2026

Perused the application, supporting affidavit and documents produced along-with list Exh.3. Plaintiff and his Ld. Advocate are present. The present suit is filed for perpetual injunction. It is stated by the plaintiff that defendant is obstructing to his lawful possession over the suit property. Therefore, ex-parte ad-interim injunction needs to be granted. It is material to note that, by considering the facts and circumstances of the case, according to plaintiff, on 20/01/2012 he has purchased suit property along with his co-owner. Predecessor of the defendant also purchased 1.5R i.e. 1500 sq.ft. from the same survey number on 09/02/1983. Out of which he had sold 750 sq.ft. to the Rohit Gaikwad and Jayashri Gaikwad and 750 sq.ft. to the Ganage in the year 2024. Predecessor of defendant have sold whole area which was owned by them to two different person inspite of which they have again sold 750 sq.ft. to the defendant and on the basis of said sale deed defendant have obstructed to the possession of plaintiff. On perusal of 7/12 extract of suit property name of predecessor of defendant appeared on the record of suit property. In such circumstances it is necessary to hear the defendant before passing any *ex-parte* ad-interim order against them. Hence, issue show cause notice to the defendant as to why temporary injunction as sought by the plaintiff should not be granted against them, returnable on 25/08/2026 E.P. & S.B is allowed.

Pimpri
Dt.14/08/2026

(T.S. Mahadik)
4th Jt. Civil Judge, Junior Division,
Pimpri