

WW : 1

Witness present and duly Sworn on 28.11.2025..

Further cross examination by Sri. MV Advocate for second party:

11. I am not aware about Bye laws of second party institution. It is true to suggest that without having exclusive knowledge of Bye Laws I have filed this petition.

12. Francklin Prasanna kumar is working as receptionist. He was retired at the age of 60 years. I know Prakash, he was working as driver. The said Prakash was promoted as supervisor. It is true to suggest that he was also retired at the age of 60 years. It is true to suggest that employees comes under the category of clause 4(a) of Bye Laws are retired at the age of 60 years. It is true to suggest that carpentors, wardboy, peon, Ayas, sweepers, driver are all comes under category of clause 4(b) of Bye laws. It is true to suggest that my designation was carpentor and it was comes under the category of clause 4(b) of Bye laws. It is false to suggest that employees working under the category of clause 4(b) of Bye laws shall be retired at the age of 55 years. It is true to suggest that as I was working under the category which comes under clause 4(b) of Bye laws, I was terminated from the service at the age of 55 years.

13. It is false to suggest that even though I am aware that I was retired at the age of 55 years as per clause 4(b) of Bye laws, I have filed this petition to harass the second party and to make unlawful gain.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in Open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

(T. P. Ramalinge Gowda)
Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Chikballapura.

