

PW : 1

Duly Sworn on 26.03.2026.

Cross Examination by Sri. BGM Advocate for defendant:

1. I have studied up to PUC. I have filed this petition seeking probate in respect of will executed by my mother. I have given information to my counsel to file this petition. My mother born and brought up of Minakanagurki Village, she was given in marriage to Madhagondahalli Village. Witness volunteers that, since his birth he is residing at Minakanagurki Village.

2. My parents have six children consisting of 2 sons and 4 daughters. Ramakrishnamma is my elder sister. It is true to suggest that her daughter Rathnamma has given in marriage to me. It is true to suggest that Ramakrsihnamma had two sons by name Naveen and Kantha Kumar. To the suggestion that, you have not arrayed your sisters as parties to this proceedings witness deposed that, he has not aware of that fact. It is false to suggest that intentionally I have not arrayed them as parties to this proceedings.

3. It is true to suggest that in the month of January 2025 my siblings have demanded my mother to allot their share in the joint family and ancestral properties. To the question that, whether your sisters are not sought for partition, witness deposed that at the time of their demand my father has shown the will executed by my mother in my favour.

4. To the question that your father has any properties, witness deposed that he was sold his properties. Schedule properties are acquired by my mother through her mother. My mother has not possessed any other properties except the schedule properties. It is false to suggest that I was present at the time of execution of will by my mother. To the question that how can you came to know about the will witness deposed that, when it

was given by his father, he has gone through it. To the question that when your father gave the will, witness deposed that, when my sisters have demanded for partition, my father has shown the will to us.

5. It is true to suggest that I have stated in my petition that will was executed on 04.08.2017. It is false to suggest that I was present while execution of Will. I am not aware when the Will was registered. To the suggestion that Respondent has taken care of my mother, witness deposed that, he has taken care his parents. It is false to suggest that my parents are residing with the Respondent. It may be that at the time of execution of Will my mother was not receiving old age pension. It is false to suggest that on the guise of obtaining old age pension, I have taken my mother to the Taluka Office and got obtained Will in my favour.

6. It is true to suggest that my mother was an illiterate. It is true to suggest that my mother was trusted me. It is false to suggest that by making use of said trust, I have fabricated the Will and thereby cheated her. I am not aware to suggest that on 26.08.2017 at about 10.48 am the Will was Registered in the office of Sub Registrar. It is true to suggest that there is gap of 22 days for execution of will and its registration. I am not aware to suggest that at the time of registration of Will my brother in law Naveen was with my mother.

Learned counsel for defendant prays time for further cross of PW-1 . Hence, PW-1 is bind over.

Re-Examination:Nil

(Typed to my dictation in Open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

(T. P. Ramalinge Gowda)

Prl. District and Sessions Judge,

O.S. 03/2025

Chikkballapura.