

Witness present and duly Sworn on 06.12.2024.

Cross examination by Smt. ANK advocate for first party:

3). *I do not have personal knowledge about the case. Witness volunteers that, he is deposing based on the documentary evidence.*

Question: Did the appointment of Enquiry officer was intimated to the first party through the written notice ?

Answer: We have sent the notice but I do not have the acknowledgment to show the receipt of the notice by the first party.

4). *At present the enquiry officer is residing out of country and unable to be present before the court. Witness further says that the enquiry officer has given a letter intimating that he is unable to be present before the court to give evidence. It is false to suggest that, if the enquiry officer is summoned before the court then the truth of the facts will be revealed as such the enquiry officer is not summoned before the court to give evidence.*

5). *It is false to suggest that, the enquiry officer has not conducted the enquiry by explaining the procedural formalities to the first party. We have issued notice to first party to participate in the enquiry proceedings. It is false to suggest that, the acknowledgment produced before this court is not pertain to the present case. It is false to suggest that, the enquiry officer has not given sufficient opportunity to the first party to produce the documents in connection with the defense statement.*

6). It is false to suggest that, that the enquiry officer is not conducted the enquiry in accordance with KSRTC C and D Regulations and conducted the enquiry without giving sufficient opportunity to the first party. It is false to suggest that, the findings of the enquiry officer is one sided and I am deposing falsely. It is true that, the order sheet of the enquiry officer is in English Language. It is false to suggest that, the first party was not explained with regard to the proceedings taken place and the enquiry officer has recorded the order sheet in the language not known to the first party and obtained the signatures of the first party without explaining the content.

7). It is false to suggest that, I do not have any knowledge about the case and giving evidence on the basis of the instructions of my higher authorities. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in Open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

(N.V. BHAVANI)

Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Chikballapura.