

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, AT:: CHIKKABALLAPURA.**

ID REF : 2/2023

MW: 2

Duly Sworn on 09-01-2026.

Further examination in Chief by Sri. KNG Advocate for second party:

3. In support of my case, today I have produced the following documents:

<i>Sl. No.</i>	<i>Exhibits</i>	<i>Description of Documents</i>
1	Ex.M-16	True copy of system generated history sheet of first party

Cross Examination by Sri.MCB Advocate for First party:

4. It is true to suggest that history sheet at Ex.M-16 was not furnished to the first party while furnishing chargesheet. It is true to suggest that after completion of enquiry, while issuing second show case notice, history sheet was furnished to the first party. While giving evidence before the enquiry officer, history sheet was not furnished. It is false to suggest that history sheet at Ex.M-16 has no relevance and nexus with the case on hand. Except in the case on hand, enquiry was not conducted in any other cases mentioned in the history sheet. It is false to suggest that no opportunity was given to the first party to prove the charges leveled against first party in

other cases mentioned in the history sheet at Ex.M-16.

5. It is false to suggest that even though second party has still allowed the employees were having 10 cases in their history to work in the department, but first party was dismissed even though she was having history of only four cases. It is true to suggest that except producing Ex.M-16, no other corroborative documents are produced before the court to substantiate Ex.M-16. It is false to suggest that Ex.M-16 was created for the purpose of this case. It is false to suggest that first party has not committed any offence or any misconduct as mentioned in the history sheet.

Re-examination:Nil

(Typed to my dictation in the Open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

(T. P. Ramalinge Gowda)

*Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Chikballapura.*