

KACB010011552026



**IN THE COURT OF THE I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT &
SESSIONS JUDGE AT CHIKKABALLAPURA**

Dated this the 3rd day of August, 2026.

PRESENT :

Sri. Kantharaju S.V. B.A. LL.B.

I Additional District & Sessions Judge,
Chikkaballapura

Crl. Misc.No.345/2026

Petitioner:

Smt. Manjula G,
Wife of Late Manjunatha,
Aged about 43 years,
Residing at: Marappanahalli Village,
Kasaba Hobli, Chikkaballapura Taluk

**(Rep. by Sri. P.Mohan,
Advocate)**

v/s

Respondent:

The State

Rep. by Chikkaballapura Rural
Police Station

**[By learned Public Prosecutor
Chikkaballapura]**

ORDER

This petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharathiya Nagarika Suraksha Sanhitha, 2023 on behalf of the petitioner/accused No.2 for grant of anticipatory bail in the event of her arrest in connection with Crime No.28/2025 of Chikkaballapura Rural Police Station for the offences punishable under Sections 419, 464, 468, 420, 120B, read with Section 34 of The Indian Penal Code, pending on the file of I Additional Civil Judge and JMFC., Chikkaballapura, in the interest of justice.

2. The learned Public Prosecutor representing the State has seriously opposed the bail petition and has requested to reject it for the reasons stated in her written objection and I.O. Report.

3. Heard arguments from both sides.

4. The point for my consideration is;

"Whether the petitioner/accused no.2 has made out ground for grant of the bail as sought?"

5. The above point is answered in the **Affirmative** for the following;

:REASONS:

6. I have perused the records. On the strength of the complaint lodged by Gopalappa resident of Maruthi Nagara, Bengaluru dated 11.02.2025 at about 12.15 p.m. alleging that, there is a vacant plot of land bearing house list number 320/74 in Munnur village, Kasaba Hobli, Chikkaballapura taluk. Now, accused No.2 Manjula, G. of Marappanahalli, Chikkaballapur Taluk and accused No.3 G.C. Munishami, have together created fabricated documents related to the said vacant site, formed a conspiracy and fraudulently registered his vacant site bearing house list number 320/74 in the office of the Sub Registrar, Chikkaballapur on 06-03-2023 in the name of Harish Son of Venkatesappa, Nastimmanahalli Village, Mandikalu Hobli, Chikkaballapura Taluk by a fake person named Gopalappa who has no right to complainant's vacant site, which is in the name of Harish. In the meanwhile, the above persons on 06-3-2023 having a vacant plot measuring 28 feet East West North South 30 feet relating to the property of the 1st Book Document No. CKB-01-07993/2022-23, C.D. No. CKBD1231, Permanent Site No.320/74 and further Harish son of Venkateshappa has registered the document in the name of Ravikumar son of Muniyappa, residing at Kavaranaahalli village, Kasaba Hobli, Chikkaballapur taluk, with Aadhaar number 2034 4397 1087, registration document number 1, with the

registration number CKBI-1-06937-2024-2025 on 23/01/2025 in the office of the Sub-Registrar. Earlier on 06-3-2023, the 1st Book Document No.CKB-01-07993/2022-23, CD No. CKBD1231 Number Permanent Site No: 320/74th Property, East-West 28 feet North-South: 30 feet vacant plot originally belongs to the complainant who is the original owner of the property and he has created an alleged document as Gopalappa standing in the name of the fake person. No other information is known about this person, and complainant's Aadhaar number 8333 7668 9936, has been misused to illegal creation of fake documents by Harish son of Venkateshappa through a third party, a person who has adopted the name of Gopalappa. Additionally, Venkatesh son of Maddereddy, Yarranagenahalli village, Mandikal Hobli, Chikkaballapura taluk, as authorized its witnesses. Further, the complainant Gopalappa is the original owner, have purchased the vacant site for Rs. 68,000/- registered in the 1st Book Document No. CKB-1-01126/2008-09 CD No:CKBD32 dated 14-07-2008 in the office of the Sub Registrar, Chikkaballapur. As complainant is 72 years old and living in Bangalore city, taking advantage of the same, the persons who have illegally created fake documents, used complainant's name, which has no interest, and illegally used his Aadhaar number to create a fabricated document and illegally purchased of the vacant site. On

the basis of the said allegations, the present case has been registered by the concerned Chikkaballapura Rural Police against the petitioner along with other accused for the aforesaid offences.

7. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused No.2 has contended that, the entire complaint averments are false and untenable. Further contended that, there is no specific allegations against this Petitioner as she has not involved in the alleged offences, the complainant has instigated the police to implicate the innocent Petitioner who is totally innocent of the alleged offences against her and she has not committed any offences and the complainant has falsely implicated the Petitioner. The Petitioner being hailed from respectable family and she is law abiding citizen, having no antecedents against her. The alleged offences against the Petitioner is not punishable with death or imprisonment for life and triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner is widow having old age parents and family members, having a small children having movable and immovable properties in their locality. As such there can be no apprehension of the Petitioner fleeing from justice. The petitioner has undertaken to abide by the terms and conditions that may be imposed by this court and she will appear before this court on all the dates of hearing without fail and offer to furnish surety to the

satisfaction of this court. Hence, she prayed to allow the petition.

8. On the contrary the learned Public Prosecutor has filed objections and seriously opposing the bail petition on the grounds that, the bail petition filed by the petitioner is contrary to law and facts, liable to be dismissed. Further the learned Public Prosecutor has reiterated the complaint averments. The investigation is still in progress. The petitioner is politically and financially influential person. The Investigating officer has to identify the duplicate Gopalappa accused No.1. There is a prima facie case made out against the petitioner, hence, she is not entitled for anticipatory bail. If she granted bail, he may abscond from the jurisdiction of this court and in such an event, it will hamper the investigation and she may threaten the witnesses and destroy the evidence of the prosecution or involved in the similar offences. Hence, it is requested to reject the petition.

9. The contentions of either side is placed on record. I have carefully perused the allegations made against the petitioner in the complaint, FIR, the statement of objection filed by the prosecution, and the contentions raised by the petitioner in this petition. Though the FIR was registered on 11.02.2025, the Investigation officer has not arrested the accused No.2-petitioner herein. Admittedly the alleged

offences are exclusively triable by the Court of Magistrate and not punishable with death or imprisonment for life. As per the recital of the complaint, the accused No.2 and accused No.3 G.C. Munishami, have together created fabricated documents related to the alleged vacant site, formed a conspiracy and fraudulently registered his vacant site bearing house list number 320/74 in the office of the Sub Registrar, Chikkaballapur on 06-03-2023 in the name of Harish Son of Venkatesappa, Nastimmanahalli Village, Mandikalu Hobli, Chikkaballapura Taluk by a duplicate person named Gopalappa. Further, as submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court already granted anticipatory bail to the accused No.3 in Crl.Misc.No.106/2025 dated 05.03.2025. The allegations against this petitioner and accused No.3 are one and the same. Under the said circumstances, the allegations made against the petitioner is required to be prove through full fledged trial. Further as seen from the objection filed by the learned Public Prosecutor, the criminal antecedents of the petitioner is not forthcoming. Apart that she is a woman. Hence, in the light of the above, looking to the surrounding circumstances, facts of the case and material on record, I feel this is a fit case to exercise discretion to grant anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the bail petition is deserves to be allowed. Hence, I hold that the petitioner is entitled

for anticipatory bail at this stage and I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

The anticipatory bail petition under Section 482 of Bharathiya Nagarika Suraksha Sanhitha, 2023 filed on behalf of the petitioner/accused No.2, is hereby **allowed** as prayed for.

The Investigating Officer is hereby directed to release the petitioner in the event of her arrest, in connection with Crime No.28/2025 of Chikkaballapura Rural Police Station for the offences punishable under Sections 419, 464, 468, 420, 120B read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, pending on the file of I Additional Civil Judge and JMFC., Chikkaballapura, on executing her personal bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for the likesum.

This order is subject to the following conditions:

1. She shall appear before the Investigation Officer and furnish surety within 15 days.
2. She shall not repeat the same and involve in any kind of offences.

3. She shall mark her attendance before the Investigation officer on 1st and 15th of day of every month between 10.00 a.m. till 5.00 p.m. till completion of investigation.
4. She shall not directly or indirectly threaten or destroy the prosecution witnesses.
5. She shall co-operate with the investigation officer as and when required

In the event if she violated any of the conditions, prosecution would be at liberty to seek the cancellation of the bail.

(Dictated to the Stenographer directly on computer, typed by him, corrected by me, signed and then pronounced by me in the open court, on this the **3rd day of August, 2026.**)

(Kantharaju S.V.)

I Additional District & Sessions Judge,
Chikkaballapura.