

DW : 1

Witness present and duly Sworn on 17-01-2026.

Further Cross examination by Sri.VSK Advocate for plaintiff:

17. The document produced by me at Ex.D3 and its contents are proper and correct. There is no mention the payment of Rs.11,00,000/- said to be paid as per the advise of the pachayathdhars and elders to the plaintiff before Covid-19 pandamic situation. I have no impediment to obtain any document from the plaintiff for having paid Rs.11,00,000/- or to mention the same in Ex.D-3 document. It is false to suggest that since, I have not paid any amount as stated, I have not obtained any acknowledgment from the plaintiff. I have no impediment to examine the aforesaid panchayathdhars before the court. It is false to suggest that before Covid-19 pandamic situation, as per the advise of panchayathdhars I have paid only Rs.4,00,000/- on 24-08-2019 and it was mention at Ex.P-2. It is false to suggest that in order to escape from my liability, I am not admitting the true facts.

18. It is true to suggest that Shivareddy of Cheluru is mine. I am aware of the contents of documents produced by the plaintiff before the court. It may be that the plaintiff has maintained the accounts about supply of chicken, payments received and balance to be paid regularly not only in respect of mine but, also in respect of other customers as per Ex.P3. Witness again deposed that, he is not aware.

There was no understanding between me and plaintiff regarding transaction on credit basis. It is false to suggest that if the balance is not paid within the agreed period, it shall be paid along with 18 % interest as per our oral understanding. It is false to suggest that since, I have not paid the balance amount even after so many requests, plaintiff got issued notice on 27-01-2022. It is false to suggest that thereafter I have paid Rs.5,000/- on 20-02-2022 and obtained time to make balance payment. It is false to suggest that since, I have not paid the balance amount, plaintiff has filed this suit for recovery.

19. It is false to suggest that I would to pay Rs.12,65,597/- together with interest at the rate of 1.5% p.m. It is false to suggest that in order to escape from my liability to make balance payment, I am deposing false evidence on the basis of false defense.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in Open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

(T. P. Ramalinge Gowda)
Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Chikballapura.