

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, AT:: CHIKKABALLAPURA.**

ID REF : 3/2024

MW: 1

Duly Sworn on 05.12.2025.

Examination in Chief by Sri. KNG Advocate for second party:

I have filed affidavit in lieu of my examination-in-chief. The contents of the affidavit are true and correct.

*2. I have produced attested copy of detailed report with attendance extract of first party which is marked as **Ex.M.1**. Call notice which is marked as **Ex.M.2**. Article of charge sheet is marked as **Ex.M.3**. Reply to article of charge which is marked as **Ex.M.4**. Letter for appointment of enquiry officer is marked as **Ex.M.5**. Enquiry notice three in numbers which are totally marked as **Ex.M.6**. Documents for having service of enquiry notices four in numbers through the security people which are marked as **Ex.M.7**. Postal acknowledgement for having service of enquiry notice is marked as **Ex.M.8**. Postal acknowledgement for having unserved RPAD covers are totally marked as **Ex.M.9**. Ordersheet and enquiry proceedings are totally marked as **Ex.M.10**. Defense statement is marked as **Ex.M.11**. Enquiry report is marked as **Ex.M.12**. Show cause notice is marked as **Ex.M.13**. History sheet is marked as **Ex.M.14**. Final order of disciplinary authority is marked as **Ex.M.15**. Letter of authorization is marked as **Ex.M.16**.*

Cross Examination by Sri.LS Advocate for first party:

3. Since 10 months I am working as Superintendent at Division office Chikkaballapur. I have no personal information about this case, I am deposing evidence on the basis of records. Enquiry was conducted by enquiry officer ie., retired Divisional Traffic Officer (DTO). I am unable to say the name of enquiry officer. I have not asked the enquiry officer to give evidence before the court and no letter correspondence was done on this respect. I am not aware about the health condition of enquiry officer. I am not aware about age of enquiry officer. It is false to suggest that on the basis of my assumption I have deposed the aforesaid facts in my chief affidavit. It is true to suggest that I have not furnished any documents about the health condition of enquiry officer.

4. It is true to suggest that I was not participated in the enquiry proceedings. It is false to suggest that If enquiry officer is examined before the court it would be benefited to the first party, his examination was with-held and I have come before the court to give evidence. It is true to suggest that on 20.11.2019 first party has filed defense statement and on the same day enquiry was concluded. It is false to suggest that enquiry officer has not provided sufficient opportunity to first party to raise proper defense and to defend his case.

5. It is true to suggest that punishment orders referred at Ex.M.14 are not furnished before the court. It is false to suggest that the said orders are also not furnished to the first party. To the

question that you have no impediment to produce the punishment orders before the court, witness deposed that some major punishment which are individual in nature can be produced but those orders which are passed in common ie., the drivers and conductors of particular depot is not possible to produce. It is false to suggest that even though no offence was committed and no punishment was given as per Ex.M.14, I am deposing falsely.

6. It is true to suggest that first party has furnished his answer/explanation to the findings of enquiry officer. It is true to suggest that the said explanation is not furnished before the court. It is false to suggest that even though I am not aware anything about this case I have given evidence on the basis of fabricated documents.

Re-Examination:Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the Open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

(T. P. Ramalinge Gowda)

Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Chikballapura.