

Government of Karnataka

(C. R. P. 67)

Deposition sheet (R. P. No. 57) Form No. 4 (Criminal)
--

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, AT:: CHIKKABALLAPURA.**

SPECIAL CASE : 41/2023

PW	06
CW	11

Name : K Manjunath
Father's Name : Keshavamurthy D
Age : 38 years
Occupation : Sr. Scientific Officer, Narcotic
Section, RFSL, Davanagere
Place of Residence : Davanagere.
Duly Sworn on: 03.03.2026.

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor through V.C.:-

Since 2021 to 2025 I have been working as scientific officer in the FSL, Madiwala, Bengaluru. At present I am working as Senior Scientific Officer in RFSL at Davanagere. .

2. On 30.09.2022 I received one article sent in Crime no. 68/2022 of Chikkaballapur CEN Crime PS through CHC-80 Krishnappa. During 30.09.2022 to 27.10.2022, I have examined and found the seal was intact and description were tallied with sample seal. The description found on the article/s correspond to that of those present in the invoice.

3. *Method of analysis:*

One sealed cloth packet with seal impression “Prl, Civil, Jn.Dn & JMFC, C.B Pura” the seals are intact and tallied with the specimen seal sent by the IO along with the case file, was pasted with one paper slip bearing Article description and attested by PI CEN Crime Police Station, Chikkaballapur District and Principal Civil Judge (Jn. Div) JMFC, Chikkaballapur. On opening of the article I found packet contains dried broken stalks bearing greenish brown colour leaves, few flowering tops and characteristic smell. Packed inside separate plastic covers.

4. *Before opening of the packet I have weighed the sample received along with plastic cover and the weight of the article No.1 before examination is 99.73 grams and after examination is 91.88 grams.*

5. *I have conducted five different kind of tests and listed the same in my report.*

1. Microscopic characteristics:	Non glandular hair (trichomes), numerous, unicellular, rigid, curved, with a slender pointed apex and Enlarged base containing cystolithic hairs are observed. These microscopic features found on the surface of the sample are the characteristic features of cannabis
---------------------------------	--

	<i>in Article No.1</i>
<i>2. Duquenois-Levine test:</i>	<i>Appearance of purple colour in the chloroform layer positive for cannabis in Article No. 1.</i>
<i>3. Para aminophenol test:</i>	<i>Formation of blue colour Positive for cannabis in Article No. 1.</i>
<i>4. Thin Layer Chromatography:</i>	<i>1. a) Visualization: Fast Blue B salt reagent (50 mg Fast Blue B in 20 ml of 0.1N NaOH) 2. b) Result: A: Purple red colour spots at Rf 35(cannabidiol), 26(cannabinol) and 33(Tetrahydrocannabinol) Positive for cannabinoids (constituents of ganja) in Article No.1</i>
<i>5. UV Spectrophotometric analysis:</i>	<i>Spectrum at λ_{max}=278.95 nm for Art. No.1.</i>

6. Based on my examination I have given my report as under;

- i. Sample found in the above stated article has responded positive for cannabis (ganja).
- ii. Cannabis contains psychoactive constituents.

7. Now I see the test report confronted through V.C. On admission of the witness it is marked as **Ex.P-12** and my signature is marked as **Ex.P-12(a)**. I can identify the sample ganja substance

*examined by me if shown to me. On showing the sealed cloth packet, witness has identified the slip and seal affixed on it. With the permission of the court one sealed cover is opened and shown the ganja comprised therein to the witness. Witness has identified ganja substance, hence same is marked as **MO-1**.*

Cross Examination by Sri. HCM advocate for accused:

7). IO has not sent root, stem, leaves, fruiting and flowering tops of Ganja separately. I have taken the sample from the ganja sent for analysis by the IO. To the suggestion that age of the ganja plant is not mentioned, witness deposed that as per the guidelines same is not required to be analyzed. It is false to suggest that police have not sent any ganja substance for my analysis. It is false to suggest that I have issued report as per the request of the police without conducting any analysis. It is true to suggest that root, stem, leaves cannot be termed as Ganja.

Re examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in Open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

(T. P. Ramalinge Gowda)
Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Chikkaballapura.