

RW : 1

Witness present and duly Sworn on 27.02.2026

Further Cross examination by Sri. KVV Advocate for petitioner:

5. It is false to suggest that Venkataramanna was alone lookafter Gowramma and not by me and my wife. It is false to suggest that I have intionally not arrayed the 4 children of Venkataravanamma as parties in P and SC No.90/2021. It is false to suggest that in order to obtain the probate by suppressing the true facts and also to avoid the objections of children of Venkataravanamma, I have not arrayed them as parties in the probate proceedings. I have no impediment to contest the P and SC proceedings if the children of Venkataravanamma are come on record. It is false to suggest that even though Gowramma was taken care by Vankataravanamma and her children, I am deposing false evidence.

6. It is false to suggest that without disclosing the children of Venkataravanamma in the G-tree affidavit, I have fraudulently obtained the probate. It is false to suggest that children of Venkataravanamma are also having right and title over the schedule property. It is false to suggest that in order to knock off the property from the children of Venkataravanamma, I have not arrayed them as parties in the P and SC proceedings.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the Open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

(T. P. Ramalinge Gowda)
*Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Chikballapura.*