

BEFORE S. G. KORE, JUDGE, LABOUR COURT, SANGLI

Complaint (ULP) No. 13/2021

Smt. Shubhangi Ananda Jamdade,

Age : 36 Years,

R/o. Jadhav Vasti, Manerajuri, Tal. Tasgaon,

Dist. Sangli.

.. Complainant

Versus

Zilla Karyakram Adhikari,

Mahila Va Bal Kalyan Vibhag,

Zilla Parishad, Sangli.

.. Respondent

ORDER BELOW EXH. C-8

(Passed on : 05/07/2025)

The Respondent has filed the present application for setting aside the 'No further evidence Order' passed on 16/12/2024.

Brief facts of the application are as under :

2. According to the Respondent, on 16/12/2024 the court has passed "No further evidence order' against the Respondent as the Respondent could not produce its further evidence. The Respondent has not deliberately avoided to lead the further evidence. Due to non availability of the relevant staff, it taking time to collect the relevant documents. Therefore, Respondent prayed to permit to file further evidence. Respondent requested to allow the application.

3. The Complainant has resisted the application by filing say below the application. The contents in the application are incorrect. Sufficient opportunity was given to the Respondent. Therefore, Complainant requested to reject the application.

4. Heard both the sides. Perused the application, say and documents on record. In the present matter, in spite of sufficient opportunity given to the Respondent, they have failed to lead further evidence, therefore, my predecessor had closed the evidence of Respondent. According to the Complainant, the Respondent had obtained several adjournments at the every stage of the proceeding, therefore requested to levy the cost on Respondent. After going through the roznama of the proceeding, it appears that, the Respondent was given sufficient opportunity at the every stage of the proceeding.

5. Taking into consideration the reason mentioned in the application, in case permission is given to the Respondent to lead further evidence, no prejudice will be caused to the Complainant. Moreover, it will help to decide the matter on merit. In spite of sufficient opportunity given to the Respondent, he failed to lead the evidence within time. Therefore, cost may be levied on the Respondent. Hence, I pass the following order :

ORDER

- 1) Application Exh. C-8 is allowed.
- 2) 'No further evidence order' passed against Respondent on 16/12/2024 is set aside, subject to cost of Rs. 1000/- to be paid to the Complainant.
- 3) In case, the Respondent failed to pay cost on or before next date, the application Exh. C-8 automatically deems to be rejected.

Place :Sangli
Date : 05/07/2025

(S. G. Kore)
Judge,
Labour Court, Sangli