

Bail Matter : 1553/2026  
FIR No. : 248/2026  
PS: Subzi Mandi  
State Vs. Shashank Jain

20.07.2026

Present: Sh. Himanshu Garg, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.  
Sh. Pushkar Walia, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.  
Sh.Dinesh Lal, Ld. Counsel for the complainant  
through VC.  
IO/SI Kiran.

Reply on behalf of IO filed. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard. Put up for orders.

(ANKUR JAIN-I)  
ASJ-04/Central/Delhi.  
20.07.2026

**ORDER:-**

1. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that present FIR has been registered pursuant to the directions issued by the Trial Court u/s 156(3) Cr.P.C.. It is submitted that applicant/accused had no role to play, he is ready to join the investigation and he may be granted anticipatory bail. In support of his arguments he has relied upon the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in *Mukesh Vanraj Jain Vs. State of Gujarat Crl. Appeal No. 4352/2024 decided on 22.10.2024.*
2. On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the State duly assisted by the Ld. Counsel for the complainant submits that allegations

:2:

are serious in nature and no ground is made out to admit the applicant on anticipatory bail.

3. I have heard Ld. Counsels for the parties and perused the record.

4. The perusal of the reply filed by the IO shows that all the offences are punishable for less than seven years. Moreover, it is the case of the complainant that his signatures have been forced, the case is essentially based on documentary evidence. Accordingly, applicant is directed to join the investigation, till next date no coercive action shall be taken, the applicant shall join the investigation as and when summoned by the IO.

5. Put up on 18.08.2026 for further proceedings.

(ANKUR JAIN-I)  
ASJ-04/Central/Delhi.  
20.07.2026

km