

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, BARSHI,
DIST. SOLAPUR**

(Presided over by Suraj V. Kendre)



Criminal Bail Application No. 341/2026
Samrudhi Ravindra Shingade Vs. State
(CNR No. MH50210006752026)

Order Passed Below Exh.1

1. Initially applicants **Samrudhi Ravindra Shingade** and **Om Ravindra Shingade** filed this application for anticipatory bail under section 482 of B.N.S.S. in Crime No. 387/2026 Karmala police station for the offences punishable under sections 108, 3(5), 351(2), 352 of BNS. Subsequently applicant Om Ravindra Shingade was arrested and therefore, his application was not pressed. So the present application is only to the effect of applicant Samrudhi Ravindra Shingade.

2. The applicant has reproduced contents of F.I.R. in this application. As per the contents of bail application and oral arguments it is the case of the applicant that, she is innocent and falsely implicated in this crime. Ingredients of Sec. 108 of BNS are not attracted. Investigation is practically completed. Nothing is to be recovered from her. So her custodial interrogation is not required. The alleged offences are not punishable with death or imprisonment for life. The applicant is ready and willing to follow the terms and conditions of bail.

She will not abscond and tamper with prosecution evidence. Therefore, she may be released on anticipatory bail. During the course of oral argument the applicant relied upon case law in - (1) Vikram Vasudev Jagadale Vs State of Maharashtra; 2017 CLU 994. (2) Gangula Mohan Reddy Vs. State of A.P.; 2010 ALL MR (Cri) 615(S.C.) & (3) S. S. Chheena Vs. Vijay Kumar Mahajan & Anr.; 2010 All MR (Cri.) 3298 (S.C.)

3. The informant, learned A.P.P. and Investigating Officer have filed say and opposed the application. As per the say and oral argument it is their case that, there is strong evidence against the applicant. Nature of offence is very serious and there is prima facie case made out against the applicant. If the applicant is released on bail then possibility of commission of similar offence, pressurizing the witnesses and tampering with the evidence cannot be ruled out. The applicant through their relatives and friends is pressurizing the informant for withdrawing the case and threatening to kill them. Hence, application may be rejected.

4. Heard both sides at length. Perused report and case papers. From the report it prima facie appears that, on 8.11.2025 marriage of the daughter of the informant took place and food plates were lying in the court yard of the house of applicant due to strong wind. Therefore, on 12.11.2025 the applicant and co-accused have raised quarrel with the informant and his wife and threatened them. That quarrel was pacified by

the neighbourers. Thereafter also the applicant and co-accused were continuously abusing and threatening the informant and his wife. Therefore, wife of informant got frustrated and living unhappy. On 24.4.2026 at 9.00 am the co-accused parked his scooty in the ancestral property of informant. So the informant asked the co-accused to remove that scooty. On that count the applicant along with co-accused assaulted the informant and his wife by fist and kick blows and torn the gown of wife of the informant. The relatives of informant have pacified the quarrel. Thereafter at 10.00 a.m. the informant came on his shop. That time he received phone call that, his wife has poured polish thinner on her person and set herself on fire. His relative Charansingh Pardeshi and Meena Pardeshi with the help of water extinguished the fire. Subsequently they took the wife of informant in hospital. She was referred for further treatment in another hospital. That time wife of informant told him that after the informant went in his shop applicant and co-accused regularly used to raise quarrel with her and mentally harassed her. Therefore, she was fed up with the quarrels and they have made very difficult to live her life. So she has set herself on fire by pouring thinner on herself. On 29.4.2026 wife of informant died.

5. It is necessary to point out that, the applicant and co-accused have intentionally continuously instigated, aided and or abetted wife of informant to commit suicide by raising quarrel with her on minor issues, beating her and after informant went

in his shop then they continuously used to abuse, threaten and raise quarrel with the wife of informant. Because of the continuous mental harassment/torture of applicant and co-accused it was difficult for the wife of informant to live peaceful life, so she was fed up and went in depression/ frustration.

6. On 24.4.2026 in morning at 9.00 am the applicant and co-accused have assaulted the informant and his wife, they have abused and threatened them. On 24.4.2026 at 10.00 am when the informant came in the shop, in his absence the applicant and co-accused have raised quarrel with the wife of informant and humiliated, abused, insulted and threatened her. They have intentionally aided, instigated and abetted the wife of informant to commit suicide. Accordingly, she has committed suicide. The applicant has not brought any document on record to show that, wife of informant is hyper sensitive. Therefore, the case laws supra are not helpful to the applicant.

7. The applicant has played active role in the crime. Investigation is in progress and she is absconding. In such circumstances if he is released on bail then possibility of commission of serious offence against the informant, pressurizing the witnesses, non co-operation with the investigation, tampering with the evidence and absconding of the applicant cannot be ruled out. Hence, present application deserves rejection. Resultantly, following order is passed.

ORDER

Anticipatory bail application is rejected.

(Dictated and pronounced in open court)

(Suraj V. Kendre)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Barshi.

Date : 07/07/2026

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file Judgment are same word to word as per the original Judgment/Order.

- | | | |
|-----|---|---------------------------------------|
| (a) | Name of the Stenographer | : Sayyed N. I. Stenographer (Grade-I) |
| (b) | Court | : Addl. Sessions Judge, Barshi. |
| (c) | Date of Judgment | : 07/07/2026 |
| (d) | Order signed by the
Presiding Officer on | : 07/07/2026 |
| (e) | Order uploaded on | : 07/07/2026 |