

CNR No:PBAS010140992026 CASE No:BA 10003 2026

Rohit Singh Vs. Union Of India NCB

Present: Sh. Charanjit Singh, Advocate, counsel for the accused/
applicant, namely **Rohit Singh**.

Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, Special Public Prosecutor for
respondent/ NCB.

ORDER

Heard on the present **first** application under Section **483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023**, moved on behalf of accused/ applicant, namely **Rohit Singh son of Mangal Singh**, for grant of regular bail in case bearing **NCB Crime No.39 dated 28.09.2025**, under Sections 8, 21, 23 and 29 of the NDPS Act, Police Station Narcotics Control Bureau, Amritsar Zonal Unit, Amritsar.

2. Counsel for accused/ applicant argued that the accused/ applicant is absolutely innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case and the applicant/accused has been in judicial custody since 29.05.2025 and is no more required for the purpose of investigation. He further argued that no useful purpose is going to be served by detaining him behind the bars for an unlimited period. Thereby, he prayed for allowing the present application for bail.

3. On the other hand, Special Public Prosecutor for the respondent/ NCB vehemently contended that the recovery of commercial quantity of contraband has been effected from the possession of the

accused and the allegations are serious and considering the bar of Section 37 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, the applicant does not deserve the concession of regular bail. Thereby, he prayed for dismissal of the application.

4. As per the allegations of the prosecution, the Narcotics Control Bureau (NCB) received secret information that one person, namely Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi son of Lakhwinder Singh and resident of Village Lopoke, Amritsar was involved in heroin trafficking and acting on this information, a search of his residence led to the recovery of 0.810 kg of heroin and at the time of the recovery, the accused managed to flee from the spot and during the seizure proceedings, his mother stated that the recovered packets belonged to her son Gurpreet Singh and his associate Rohit Singh son of Mangal Singh, also a resident of Village Lopoke, Amritsar, further disclosing that both were involved in heroin trafficking and used to visit the house together. Consequently, NCB Crime No. 39/2025 dated 28.09.2025 was registered under Sections 8, 21, 23, and 29 of the NDPS Act, 1985 against Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi and Rohit Singh. The seized contraband was marked as PKT-A (0.460 kg) and PKT-B (0.448 kg), containing suspected heroin along with packing material, and all parcels were sealed with the seal bearing impression "NCB CHD-13." Notices under Section 67 of the NDPS Act were issued to Rohit Singh, whose voluntary statement was recorded, wherein he admitted his involvement in facilitating the illicit trafficking and transportation of

heroin while being in conscious possession, leading to his arrest on 29.09.2025 and on the same day, he was produced along with the case property before the Duty Magistrate, Amritsar, for authentication, sampling, and NCB custody and the Court granted three days of NCB custody. In his statement, Rohit Singh further disclosed that he committed the offence in conspiracy with Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi, who actively assisted in the trafficking and transportation of heroin. Thereafter, three notices under Section 67 of the NDPS Act dated 04.11.2025, 12.12.2025, and 10.02.2026 were issued to Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi through his mother directing him to join the investigation, however, he failed to appear and has not cooperated with the investigation to date.

5. Thus, apparently, the allegations against the accused/applicant Rohit Singh are grave and serious in nature, involving recovery of 0.810 Kg of heroin, which falls within the category of commercial quantity and, therefore, the rigours of Section 37 of the NDPS Act are attracted. There is well planned criminal conspiracy hatched by the accused persons for illicit trafficking of narcotics i.e. heroin in the area. At this stage, there is sufficient material available on record connecting the accused/applicant with the alleged offence and this Court is not in a position to record its satisfaction that there are reasonable grounds for believing that the accused/applicant is not guilty of the offence or that he is not likely to commit any offence while on bail, as required under Section 37 of the NDPS Act.

6. As such, considering the facts of the case, gravity of the offence and serious nature of the accusation, there is all likelihood of accused/ applicant fleeing justice. Thus, it is not a fit case for exercising discretion for grant of concession of bail.

7. Then, there is reasonable apprehension that the accused/ applicant may misuse the grant of concession of bail and may endeavour to influence, induce, threat or promise, directly or indirectly, to any person acquainted with the facts of the case, so as to dissuade him from disclosing real facts to the Court and thereby, may interfere in the fair process of the Court of law.

8. Thereby, no reasonable ground is believed to have been made out for grant of concession of bail to the accused/ applicant and application for bail moved on his behalf is dismissed.

9. Needless to mention that anything discussed herein above shall have no bearing, whatsoever, upon the merits of the case and the observations recorded herein above, if any, are solely for the purpose of disposal of the application in hand.

10. Bail papers be tagged with the file.

Pronounced in open Court.
Dated : 15.06.2026

Naresh Kumar, (Stenographer Gr.-I)*

(Sanjeev Kundi)
Judge, Special Court,
Amritsar (UID No.PB0246)