

PRITAM GUPTA Vs. DDA

01/07/2022

Present : Ms. Arunima goel, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff
(physically appeared).

Sh. Arun Dabas, Ld. Proxy Counsel for
defendant (physically appeared).

Ld. Counsel for the defendant seeks an adjournment stating that the main Counsel is not well. He further submits that the reply to application under Section 151 CPC could not be filed as the main Counsel has not received any communication from DDA.

As per the facts of the case, the application under Section 151 CPC moved on behalf of plaintiff cannot be decided in absence of main file, therefore the Ld. Appellate Court is requested to send the judicial file to this Court on or before the next date of hearing.

Further, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff seeks a short adjournment stating that livelihood of workers of plaintiff have been effected as the suit property has been sealed by DDA.

Put up for reply / arguments with advance copy to opposite party on aforesaid application on 20/07/2022. Copy of order be sent to the Ahlmad of Ld. Appellate Court for necessary intimation.

(Virender Singh)
SCJ / RC/ North
Rohini Court/01/07/2022