

IN THE COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC

AT DEVANAHALLI

O.S.No. 659 / 2006

Plaintiffs : Rajanna and another
V/s.

Defendants : Venkatappa and others

ISSUES

- 1) Whether the plaintiffs prove that, themselves and defendants no.1 to 3 constitute undivided joint Hindu family and the suit schedule properties are their joint family ancestral properties?
- 2) Whether the plaintiffs further prove that, themselves and defendants no.1 to 3 are in joint possession and enjoyment of the suit schedule properties?
- 3) Whether the plaintiffs further prove that, the registered sale deed dated 5-11-2004 in respect of suit item no.1 is not binding upon them?
- 4) Whether the plaintiffs further prove that, there was a partition amongst themselves and defendants no.1 and 2 as per the partition deed dated 28-12-1998?
- 5) Whether the defendant no.1 proves that, the suit schedule item no.4 is his self acquired property?
- 6) Whether the defendant no.5 proves that, he is the bonafide purchaser of the property bearing Sy.No.36 measuring 1 acre 34 ½ guntas as per the registered sale deed dated 5-11-2004?
- 7) Whether the valuation made and payment of court fee is correct and sufficient?
- 8) Whether the plaintiffs are entitled to the reliefs sought for?

...2..

9) What order or decree?

Devanahalli
Dated 19-1-2011


(SUNIL A. SHETTAR)
Senior Civil Judge & JMFC
Devanahalli

Additional Issues

- 1) Whether the defendant no.6 proves that he is also entitled to 1/5th share in the suit schedule properties?
- 2) Whether the defendant no.2 proves that the sale deed dated 5-11-2004 in respect of suit item no.1 is not binding upon him?
- 3) Whether the defendant no.2 is also entitled for the mesne profits from the year 1997 till delivery of the possession?

Devanahalli
Dated 18-4-2012


(SUNIL A. SHETTAR)
Senior Civil Judge & JMFC
Devanahalli