

Present: Sh. Vaibhav Yadav, Ld. counsel for the plaintiff alongwith AR Sh. Vikas.

Ld. counsel for plaintiff has filed an application for substitution of AR. It has been averred in the application that the plaintiff bank has resolved to substitute Mr. Vikas in place of Ms. Deepika Mendiratta as the Authorized Representative (AR) in the present matter. The application is supported by an affidavit.

In view of the above, the application for substitution of AR stands allowed and Sh. Vikas is substituted as the AR of the plaintiff bank.

Ex-parte evidence tendered by the plaintiff. Vide separate statement of the AR of the plaintiff, plaintiff's evidence stands closed.

Final arguments heard.

Put up for clarifications, if any / judgment at 04:00 P.M.

(Chitranshi Arora)
Civil Judge-03, SW/ Dwarka, Delhi
06.07.2023

At 04:00 P.M.

Present: None.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the plaintiff is held entitled to recover Rs.2,64,718/- (Rupees Two Lacs Sixty-four Thousand Seven Hundred and Eighteen Only) along with interest at the rate of 9% per annum from the date of filing of the suit till the date of decree and further interest at the rate of 6% per annum from the date of decree till the date of realization.

Cost of the suit is awarded to plaintiff.

Decree sheet be prepared accordingly.

File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

(Chitranshi Arora)
Civil Judge-03, SW/ Dwarka, Delhi
06.07.2023