

PBSA010003792026



Presented on : 09-01-2026
Registered on : 09-01-2026
Decided on : 28-01-2026
Duration : 0 years, 0 months, 19 days

IN THE COURT OF PRASHANT VERMA,
JUDGE SPECIAL COURT, SAS NAGAR
(UID No. PB0236)

Case Type	Regular Bail Application
CNR Number	PBSA01-000379-2026
CIS Number	BA-100-2026
Date of Decision	28.01.2026

Karan Singh, aged about 25 years, son of Daljit Singh, resident of Bhaini Mohalla, Gali no.6, near Girls School, Village Maloudh, PS Payal, District Ludhiana.

....Accused/applicant

Versus

State of Punjab.

....Respondent

(Third bail application under Section 483 of BNSS)

-0-0-

FIR No. 81 dated 23.06.2022
Under Sections 379B, 411, 34 of IPC
Police Station Phase-8, Mohali.

Present : Sh. Harpinder Singh, Advocate for the applicant/accused
Sh. RS Ahluwalia, Addl. PP for the State

ORDER

1. This order shall decide the third application for bail filed on behalf of the applicant/accused. The first regular bail application was allowed through order dated 15.09.2022. The second bail application was dismissed as withdrawn through order dated 29.11.2025.

Prashant Verma, JSC, SAS Nagar.

2. Notice of the application was issued to the State and record was put up.

EVENTS IN THE BACKDROP AND DISCUSSIONS

3. Initially, challan was filed and the accused/applicant was in custody. He was granted bail on 15.09.2022. Thereafter, he absented on 05.10.2023. Due his absence, his bail order was cancelled and bail bonds and surety bonds were cancelled and forfeited to the State through order dated 03.11.2023 and to procure his presence, non bailable warrants and proclamation warrants were ordered to be issued against him. Eventually, accused/applicant was declared a proclaimed offender through order dated 20.12.2024. He was thereafter arrested by issuing production warrants on 28.10.2025 and since then he is in custody. The stance of counsel for the accused was that his absence was not intentional but it was due to his bad health condition and his counsel neither appeared before the trial Court and nor moved any application. The detention of the accused is indeed prolonged. Apart from that, the accused is no longer required for the purpose of custodial interrogation and the conclusion of trial will its own time and no ground is made out to detain the accused in custody indefinitely. Copy of the medical record of the accused has been also annexed with the bail application. By this time accused would have learn a lesson for having misused the concession of bail. Needless to say, the allegations levelled against the applicant/accused are yet to be proved during trial of the case and his detention in judicial lock-up in such circumstances shall be a burden on State exchequer.

CONCLUSION

4. In view of above discussion and without commenting on merits of the case, the present application is **allowed**. The applicant/accused is ordered to be released on bail subject to furnishing of his bail bonds in the sum of **Rs.50,000/-** with one surety of the like amount, to the satisfaction of concerned Illaqa/Duty Magistrate, subject to the conditions that :

- 1. the applicant-accused shall not, directly or indirectly, made any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court to any police officer;*
- 2. the accused-applicant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court, including the trial Court.*
- 3. the accused-applicant shall attend the proceedings in accordance with the conditions of the bonds so executed under Chapter XXXV of BNSS.*
- 4. the accused-applicant shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected;*
- 5. the accused-applicant shall not tamper with the evidence by any means;*
- 6. the accused-applicant shall appear before the trial court on each date of hearing unless his personal appearance is exempted.*

5. The bonds whenever furnished, accepted and attested be forwarded to the concerned trial Court forthwith.

6. Needless to say, no observation made in this order will have any bearing upon the merits of the trial. Bail file be annexed with the main file.

Pronounced in open court
Dated: 28.01.2026
Nirmala Adhikari

(Prashant Verma)
Judge Special Court,
SAS Nagar. UID-PB0236

Prashant Verma, JSC, SAS Nagar.

Present : Sh. Harpinder Singh, Advocate for the applicant/accused
Sh. RS Ahluwalia, Addl. PP for the State

Record put up. Arguments heard. Through separate order of the even date, the application is ***allowed***. Bail file be annexed with the main file.

Pronounced in open court

Dated: 28.01.2026

Nirmala Adhikari

(Prashant Verma)

Judge Special Court,

SAS Nagar. UID-PB0236