

78 HDFC Bank vs. Lalit Kumar.

Present: Sh.S.S.Rai advocate learned counsel for the petitioner.

Respondent not served as RC is reported not to have been filed for the summoning of the respondent. The perusal of file revealed that vide order dated 3.10.2018 Receiver was appointed by this court and petitioner was directed to file RC/AD within 7 days and dasti notice/summons were also ordered to be issued if desired. The petitioner has failed to comply with the aforesaid order and failed to file RC/AD as ordered by the court for summoning of the respondent. Accordingly, this court finds no reason to adjourn the present case for further summoning of the respondent and accordingly as the petitioner has failed to comply with the orders of this court, this petition is ordered to be dismissed in default as provided under order 9 rule 2 CPC. Interim order dated 3.10.2018 stands vacated and file be consigned to the Record room, Ludhiana.

Pronounced: 03.12.2018.
Date of Order: 3.12.2018.
Purpose.

(Avtar Singh Barda)
Additional District Judge,
Ludhiana UID PB0159.

This order has been directly dictated on the computer.

Kashmira Singh stenographer Grade.II.