

PKF Ltd. Vs.Amardeep Singh(CNR No.PBJL010019612019)

Present : Sh.T.P.S.Kalra,Adv.counsel for applicant.

Notice sent to respondent No.1-Amardeep Singh through registered post received back with the report that he refused to accept the same, which amounts to valid service, whereas notice sent to respondent No.2 Jeet Singh @ Ajit Singh through registered post not received back. Notice was sent to him under registered post on 6.2.2019. A period of thirty days has expired, but neither the AD receipt has been received nor the registered cover has been received back undelivered. Therefore, he is deemed to have been served. Case called several times since morning, but none has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2. Therefore, respondents No.1 & 2 are proceeded against exparte.

Now to come up for exparte evidence of applicant, on 11.4.2019. Aws be summoned for that date, on filing PF, DM and list of witnesses, if any, within three days.

Dt.8.3.2019.

*Makhan Singh,

(S.K.Garg)
District Judge,
Jalandhar.
(UID No.PB0020)